

Programme Specification

1	Awarding body	University of London				
2	Teaching Institution	Birkbeck College				
3	Programme Title(s)	MSc Psychological Research Methods				
4	Programme Code(s)	TMSPSRMT_C				
5	UCAS code	N/A				
6	Home Department	Psychological Sciences				
7	Exit Award(s)	PG Dip, PG Cert				
8	Duration of Study (number of years)	1 year FT, 2 years PT				
9	Mode of Study	FT	x	PT	x	DL
10	Level of Award (FHEQ)	7				
11	Other teaching depts or institution	N/A				
12	Professional, Statutory Regulatory Body(PSRB) details	N/A				
13	<u>QAA Benchmark Group</u>	N/A				

14	Programme Rationale & Aims
	<p>The aim of the programme is to provide students with a range of generic transferable skills necessary for conducting research at PhD level within major areas of contemporary psychology. These skills include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • critically appreciating existing research and research methods, • formulating research questions and hypotheses, • conducting literature reviews, • designing and reporting experiments using both quantitative and qualitative methods, and • general and subject specific IT skills. <p>The course also aims to provide students with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a detailed practical knowledge of contemporary qualitative and quantitative research methods and the epistemological and philosophical commitments underlying these methods. • research experience through a supervised research project <p>Distinctive Features:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Combines Birkbeck's strengths in qualitative and quantitative psychological research methods • Face-to-face teaching, with a part-time (day release) option • While most other psychology departments within the UK are now offering taught masters-level research training courses, there are relatively few such courses offered within London.

15	Entry Criteria
	<p>A second-class honours degree (2:2) or above in psychology or a relevant discipline. (e.g., Psychology, Education, Social Science, Cognitive Science, Speech/Communication Science, Neuroscience).</p> <p>Some students complete the course as the first year training component of a four year ESRC-funded PhD (under the ESRC's 1+3 programme).</p>
16	Learning Outcomes
	<p>On successful completion of this programme a student will be expected to have:</p> <p>Subject Specific:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Advanced knowledge of the different philosophical positions underlying a range of areas within contemporary psychology 2. Advanced knowledge of a range of issues and debates within philosophy of science relevant to contemporary psychological research (e.g. debates within the philosophy of mind) 3. Practical knowledge of all phases of developing, conducting and reporting a research project 4. Good understanding of conventions in psychological report writing and the purpose of each section within a research report. 5. Advanced understanding and being able to evaluate the logical flow of a scientific research report 6. Good understanding the relation between research questions and research methodologies 7. An advanced understanding of a range of research designs and the conditions under which each is appropriate 8. Advanced knowledge of a wide range of parametric and non-parametric univariate and multivariate statistical procedures, the conditions under which they may reasonably be applied, and how to interpret the results of the procedures 9. An increased awareness of the principles of qualitative research and an understanding of techniques associated with some key qualitative approaches. 10. Good understanding the ethical guidelines of the British Psychological Society and ramifications of ethical practice. <p>Intellectual:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 11. Enhanced ability to articulate some similarities and differences between qualitative methods and to evaluate the arguments presented for and against qualitative methodology. 12. A critical appreciation of contemporary research and research methodologies across a number of areas within psychology 13. Advanced understanding of alternative ways of addressing a research question and how to advance reported research 14. Critical thinking skills in relation to

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • presenting and critiquing an argument • reviewing and assimilating existing topic-specific literature and formulating a research question <p>15. Enhanced ability to apply research methodologies to wider work/life situations</p> <p>16. Enhanced ability to formulate and test hypotheses</p> <p>17. Enhanced ability to study a problem in-depth</p> <p>18. Logical thinking (e.g., in relation to hypothesis testing)</p> <p>19. Evaluation skills</p> <p>Practical:</p> <p>20. Enhanced essay and report writing</p> <p>21. Enhanced numeracy in relation to understanding numerical data</p> <p>22. General IT skills (e.g., use of web browsers, email, Word, PowerPoint, EndNote)</p> <p>23. Subject specific IT skills (familiarity with SPSS)</p> <p>24. Enhanced ability to conduct literature reviews using electronic search tools, electronic journals and databases (PsycInfo)</p> <p>25. Enhanced ability to summarise and assess contemporary research succinctly</p> <p>26. Enhanced ability to apply a range of research methods to specific research questions</p> <p>27. Data collection and analysis skills</p> <p>28. Enhanced ability to present data in a meaningful way, and to transform it into different presentational formats</p> <p>29. Planning and organisational skills</p> <p>Personal and Social:</p> <p>30. Enhanced ability to work with others in small groups on practical research tasks</p> <p>31. Enhanced ability to work independently</p> <p>32. To effectively plan and organise substantive, medium-term, projects</p> <p>33. Time management skills</p> <p>34. To communicate effectively through both written reports and verbal presentations</p> <p>35. An enhanced ability to appreciate (and formulate) a structured argument</p> <p>36. A good understanding of the relevance of scientific research as reported in the media to everyday questions</p> <p>37. An increased awareness of ethical issues and ethical practice</p>
--	--

17	<p>Learning, teaching and assessment methods</p> <p>The course includes lecture-based theory modules, practical laboratory modules, and a supervised project. The teaching styles are matched to the content, and class sizes are kept small or moderate (10–40) to encourage student participation, even in lecture-based modules.</p> <p>Two modules (<i>Advanced Quantitative Methods</i>, and <i>Qualitative Methods</i>) feature lectures with laboratory/practical session. These provide students with hands-on experience of using statistical software.</p>
----	---

One module (*Generic Research Skills*) will involve small group collaborative learning. The class is split into smaller groups and each group will under the direction of the instructor explore solutions to generic organisational issues such as time management, IPR, organising large amounts of literature. It involves presenting orally an outline of their possible research topic.

Eight modules (*Fundamental Debates in Cognitive Sciences, Intervention Design and Evaluation, Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience, Computational Approaches to Mind, Structure and Measurement of the Human Brain, Child Development in a Global Context, Critical Review of Research Methodologies in Psychological and Clinical Sciences* and *Sensorimotor Processes and Attention*) feature lecturing as well as guided discussion led by one member of academic staff. Students are encouraged to also contribute to the discussion. This provides students with opportunities to question and understand the motivation for different methods when addressing different questions.

One module (*Critical Book Review*) involves a critical reading of a book on a psychological topic. Students are encouraged to discuss their chosen book in class and with the instructor.

All modules involve self-directed learning in the form of self-paced reading and preparation for each of the sessions.

The supervised research project is carried out under the supervision of a member of academic staff with research interests in the area of the project. This provides students with access to a specialist in their project area who can provide expert advice on all aspects of the research. The project also ensures that taught skills are exercised within a constructive environment during the course.

Assessment procedures ensure that students develop a portfolio of work over the duration of the programme, and feedback on coursework required for some of the modules will encourage personal development.

The component modules employ a variety of assessment methods depending on the intended learning outcomes. Assessment will be as follows:

Generic Research Skills

One 10 minute presentation of dissertation background (literature review) and research question; The presentation will be assessed jointly by the course coordinator and each student's supervisor. The presentation will give students the opportunity to demonstrate their ability to conduct a literature review and develop a research question. The module will be marked on a pass/fail basis. If the presentation is judged to be inadequate, students will be asked to submit a written report.

Structure and measurement of the human brain

Two 2 hour unseen written exam, marked according to the standard marking criteria outlined below.

Advanced Quantitative Methods

4 worksheets to be completed throughout the course. Worksheets will be issued throughout the course at two weekly intervals. Each worksheet will consist of a series of statistical problems relating to material covered in class, and worked answers must be submitted within two weeks of the worksheet being issued.

Critical Book Review

2000–2500 critical review of a book selected from a list provided by the course organiser. The review will be marked according to the standard marking scheme outlined below.

All other modules

2000–2500 word essay marked according to the standard marking scheme outlined below.

Supervised Dissertation

10,000 word research dissertation demonstrating initiative and creativity due end of the Summer in the year of registration (FT) or the end of Summer in the second year of registration (PT). The dissertation will be marked according to the standard marking scheme outlined below.

Marking scheme for essays, lab reports and dissertation (based on that currently used with MScs & MRes delivered by the Department))

0–49% (fail): The submitted work is only tangentially related to the question or research issue; The material presented is very basic or irrelevant; The work relies heavily on superficial or subjective statements without supporting evidence; Analyses presented are inadequate; The conclusions drawn are sketchy and reveal a failure to understand core concepts.

50–59% (pass): The submitted work address the question or research issue but lacks depth and/or evidence of an analytic approach; There is some coherence of structure; The work demonstrates basic familiarity with a range of relevant material or a good level of understanding of some material with important omissions; Analyses are appropriate and competent but limited; The conclusions drawn are appropriate but lack insight.

60–69% (merit): The submitted work addresses the question or research issue in detail and shows evidence of a questioning and analytic approach; The structure is coherent and easy to follow; The work shows an ability to appreciate an extensive body of relevant knowledge and articulate key theories or concepts; The work demonstrates reading beyond the core material presented in lectures; The conclusions drawn are balanced and appropriate and reveal evidence of independent thought.

70–100% (distinction): The submitted work fully explores the question or research issue and goes beyond what would be expected of something in the 60-69% range; The works shows substantial evidence of the students own insight and analysis and/or convincingly integrates material going beyond the core assigned reading; In the case of the research dissertation, the dissertation requires few modifications to be of a publishable standard in a peer reviewed journal.

	<p>All submitted work will be marked and moderated by members of staff and moderated by the visiting examiner. Students will be issued with a candidate number for use with essays, lab reports and dissertations so that marking will be blind.</p> <p>Assessment procedures will ensure that students develop a portfolio of work over the duration of the programme, and feedback on coursework required for some of the modules will encourage personal development.</p> <p>Grade of award and assessment procedures will be regulated in accordance with the College Common Awards Scheme.</p>
--	---

18	<p>Programme Description</p> <p>Full-Time Students Programme Description:</p> <p>Year 1</p> <p>Term 1</p> <p>Qualitative Methods Advanced Quantitative Methods Cognitive, Affective and Social Neuroscience Generic Research Skills</p> <p>Term 2</p> <p>Option: Intervention Design and Evaluation Option: Sensorimotor Processes and Attention Option: Structure and Measurement of the Human Brain Option: Fundamental Debates in Cognitive Sciences Option: Computational Approaches to Mind Option: Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience Option: Child Development in a Global Context Option: Critical Book Review Option: Critical Review of Research Methodologies in Psychological and Clinical Sciences</p> <p>Part-Time Students Programme Description:</p> <p>Year 1</p> <p>Term 1</p> <p>Qualitative Methods Advanced Quantitative Methods</p> <p>Term 2</p> <p>Option: Fundamental Debates in Cognitive Sciences Option: Critical Book Review* Option: Intervention Design and Evaluation Option: Sensorimotor Processes and Attention Option: Child Development in a Global Context Option: Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience</p> <p>Year 2</p> <p>Term 1</p> <p>Cognitive, Affective and Social Neuroscience Generic Research Skills</p> <p>Term 2</p> <p>Option: Critical Book Review*</p>
----	--

Option: Computational Approaches to Mind Option: Structure and Measurement of the Human Brain Option: Critical Review of Research Methodologies in Psychological and Clinical Sciences * Critical Book Review can only be chosen once
--

¹⁹	Programme Structure			
Full-Time programme – 1 year				
Year 1				
Level	Module Code	Module Title	Credits	Status*
7	PSYC074H7	Qualitative Methods	15	Compulsory
7	PSYC077H7	Advanced Quantitative Methods	15	Compulsory
7	PSYC062H7	Generic Research Skills	15	Compulsory
7	PSYC004H7	Cognitive, Affective and Social Neuroscience	15	Compulsory
7		Optional module 1 (from group 1)	15	Option
7		Optional module 2 (from group 1)	15	Option
7		Optional module 3 (from group 2)	15	Option
7		Optional module 4 (from group 2)	15	Option
7	PSYC078D7	MSc Psychology Research Dissertation	60	Core
Part-Time programme – 2 years				
Year 1				
Level	Module Code	Module Title	Credits	Status*
7	PSYC074H7	Qualitative Methods	15	Compulsory
7	PSYC077H7	Advanced Quantitative Methods	15	Compulsory
7		Optional module 1 (from group 1)	15	Option
7		Optional module 2 (from group 1)	15	Option
Year 2				
Level	Module Code	Module Title	Credits	Status*
7	PSYC062H7	Generic Research Skills	15	Compulsory
7	PSYC004H7	Cognitive, Affective and Social Neuroscience	15	Compulsory
7		Optional module 3 (from group 2)	15	Option
7		Optional Module 4 (from group 2)	15	Option
7	PSYC078D7	MSc Psychology Research Dissertation	60	Core
List of indicative Options				
Group 1				
Level	Module Code	Module Title	Credits	Status*
7	PSYC105H7	Fundamental Debates in Cognitive Sciences	15	Option

Year of entry: 2022/23



7	SCPS013H7	Critical Book Review*	15	Option
7	SCPS215H7	Intervention Design and Evaluation	15	Option
7	PSYC003H7	Sensorimotor Processes and Attention	15	Option
7	SCPS220H7	Child Development in a Global Context	15	Option
7	SCPS149H7	Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience	15	Option
Group 2				
7	SCPS013H7	Critical Book Review*	15	Option
7	PSYC107H7	Computational Approaches to Mind	15	Option
7	PSYC026H7	Structure and Measurement of the Human Brain	15	Option
7	SCPS217H7	Critical Review of Research Methodologies in Psychological and Clinical Sciences	15	Option
*Critical Book Review can only be chosen once				

Status*

CORE – Module must be taken and passed by student; COMPULSORY – Module must be taken, mark can be reviewed at sub-exam board; OPTIONAL – Student can choose to take this module

20	Programme Director	Eddy J. Davelaar
21	Start Date (<i>term/year</i>)	October 2004
22	Date approved by TQEC	Spring 2004
23	Date approved by Academic Board	Summer 2004
24	Date(s) updated/amended	May 2022 (for 2022/3)