Effective Pre-school Provision in Northern Ireland (EPPNI)

Pre-school Experience and Social/Behavioural Development at the End of Year 1.

Technical Paper 7

A Longitudinal Study funded by Department of Education (DE), Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety (DHSSPS) and Social Steering Group (SSG)1998-2004

Pre-school Experience and Social/Behavioural Development at the End of Year 1.

Authors:

Louise Quinn
Edward Melhuish
Kathy Sylva
Pam Sammons
Iram Siraj-Blatchford
Brenda Taggart
Karen Hanna

The EPPNI Research Team

Principal Investigators

Professor Edward Melhuish Birkbeck, University of London

Professor Kathy Sylva Department of Educational Studies, University of Oxford

Professor Pam Sammons Institute of Education, University of London

Professor Iram Siraj-Blatchford Institute of Education, University of London

Louise Quinn Stranmillis University College, Queen's University Belfast

Dr. Leslie Caul Stranmillis University College, Queen's University Belfast

Research Coordinator

Louise Quinn Stranmillis University College, Queen's University Belfast

EPPE - EPPNI Liaison

Brenda Taggart Institute of Education, University of London

Contents	Page Number
Overview of Project	1
Executive Summary	8
Introduction	13
Method of Data Collection	14
Analysis of Social/Behavioural Data	17
Regression Analyses	20
Co-operation/Conformity Attainment Co-operation/Conformity Progress	21 23
Sociability Attainment Sociability Progress	25 27
Confidence Attainment Confidence Progress	29 31
Peer Empathy Attainment Peer Empathy Progress	33 35
Independence and Concentration Attainment Independence and Concentration Progress	39 41
Conduct problems Attainment Conduct problems Progress	43 45
Summaries Attainment Home versus Pre-school Distinction progress Pre-school type progress	48 50 51
References	54
Appendices Appendix 1: Child Social Behaviour Questionnaire Appendix 2: Pre-School Effects in Comparison with Home Children Appendix 3: Pre-School Type Effects	56 . 57 59

Effective Pre-school Provision in Northern Ireland 'EPPNI'

Overview of the Project

This longitudinal study assesses the attainment and development of children followed from the age of 3 until the end of Key Stage 1. Over 700 children were recruited to the study during 1998 and 1999 from 80 pre-school centres in Northern Ireland. Both qualitative and quantitative methods are used to explore the effects of pre-school experience on children's cognitive attainment and social/behavioural development at entry to school and any continuing effects on such outcomes up to 8 years of age. In addition to the effects of pre-school experience, the study investigates the contribution to children's development of individual and family characteristics such as gender, family size, parental education and employment. This overview describes the research design and discusses a variety of research issues (methodological and practical) in investigating the impact of pre-school provision on children's developmental progress. A parallel study is being carried out in England (EPPE).

Previous Research on the Effects of Early Education in the UK

There has been little large-scale, systematic research on the effects of early childhood education in the UK. The 'Start Right' Enquiry (Ball 1994; Sylva 1994) reviewed the evidence of UK research and concluded that small-scale studies suggested a positive impact but that large-scale research was inconclusive. The Start Right enquiry recommended more rigorous longitudinal studies with baseline measures so that the 'value added' to children's development by pre-school education could be established.

Research evidence elsewhere on the effects of different kinds of pre-school environment on children's development (Melhuish et al. 1990; Melhuish et al 1993; Sylva & Wiltshire 1993; Schweinhart & Weikart 1997; Borge & Melhuish, 1995; National Institute of Child Health Development 1997) suggests positive outcomes. Some researchers have examined the impact of particular characteristics, e.g. gender and attendance on children's adjustment to nursery classes (Davies & Brember 1992), or adopted cross-sectional designs to explore the impact of different types of pre-school provision (Davies & Brember 1997). Feinstein, Robertson & Symons (1998) attempted to evaluate the effects of pre-schooling on children's subsequent progress but birth cohort designs may not be appropriate for the study of the influence of pre-school education. The absence of data on children's attainments at entry to pre-school means that neither the British Cohort Study (1970) nor the National Child Development Study (1958) can be used to explore the effects of pre-school education on children's progress. These studies are also limited by the time lapse and many changes in the nature of pre-school provision that have occurred. To date no research using multilevel models (Goldstein 1987) has been used to investigate the impact of both type of provision and individual centre effects. Thus little research in the UK has explored whether some forms of provision have greater benefits than others.

In the UK there is a long tradition of variation in pre-school provision both between types (e.g. Playgroup, Local Authority or Private Nursery or Nursery Classes) and in different parts of the country reflecting funding and geographical conditions (i.e. urban/rural and local access to centres). A series of reports (House of Commons Select Committee 1989; DES Rumbold Report 1990; Ball 1994) have questioned whether pre-school education in the UK is as effective as it might be and have urged better co-ordination of services and research into the impact of different forms of provision (Siraj-Blatchford 1995). The EPPNI and EPPE projects are thus the first

large-scale studies in the UK on the effects of different kinds of pre-school provision relating experience in particular centres and type of centre to child development.

Overview of Research Methods

The EPPNI and EPPE projects investigate three issues that have important implications for policy and practice:

- the effects on children of different types of pre-school provision,
- the 'structural' (e.g. adult-child ratios) and 'process' characteristics (e.g. interaction styles) of more effective pre-school centres, and
- the interaction between child and family characteristics and the kind of pre-school provision a child experiences.

The research design was chosen to enable investigation of the progress and development of individual children (including the impact of personal, socio-economic and family characteristics), and the effect of individual pre-school centres on children's outcomes at entry to school, through to age 8.

The 8 aims of the EPPNI Project

- To produce a detailed description of the 'career paths' of a large sample of children and their families between entry into pre-school education and the first four years of primary school.
- To compare and contrast the developmental progress of 800+ children from a wide range of social and cultural backgrounds who have differing pre-school experiences.
- To separate out the effects of pre-school experience from the effects of education in the primary school period years 1, 2, 3 and 4.
- To establish whether some forms of pre-school experience are more effective than others in promoting children's cognitive and social/emotional development during the pre-school years (ages 3-4) and the first four primary years (4-8 years).
- To discover the individual characteristics (structural and process) of pre-school education in centres found to be most effective.
- To investigate differences in the progress of different groups of children, e.g. children from disadvantaged backgrounds and both genders.
- To investigate the medium-term effects of pre-school education on educational performance at age 8 in a way which will allow the possibility of longitudinal follow-up at later ages to establish long-term effects, if any.
- To relate the use of pre-school provision to parental labour market participation.

The sample: centres and children

In order to maximise the likelihood of identifying the effects of various types of provision, the EPPNI sample was stratified by type of centre and geographical location. The centres were chosen to include a selection of nursery classes and schools, Playgroups, Private Day Nurseries, Reception Classes and Reception Groups. Thus examples of all major types of pre-school centre in Northern Ireland from all regions were included in the study.

Over 700 children were recruited from 80 pre-school centres from all Education & Library Boards in Northern Ireland. Children and their families were selected randomly in each centre to participate in the EPPNI Project. All parents gave written permission for their children to participate. In order to examine the impact of no pre-school provision, an additional sample of 150 children with no pre-school experience were recruited from the Year 1 classes that EPPNI children entered.

The progress and development of pre-school children in the EPPNI sample is being followed over five years until the end of Key Stage 1 of primary school. Details about length of sessions and number of sessions normally attended per week have been collected to enable the amount of pre-school education experienced to be quantified for each child in the sample. Two complicating factors are that a substantial proportion of children moved from one form of pre-school provision to another (e.g. from playgroup to nursery class) and some attended more than one centre in a week. Careful records are necessary in order to examine issues of stability and continuity, and to document the range of pre-school experiences to which individual children can be exposed.

Child assessments

Child Measures at 3+ years

Around the third birthday, or up to a year later if the child entered pre-school provision after three, each child was assessed by a researcher on four cognitive tasks from the British Ability Scales II (BASII) (Elliott et al 1996). These tasks were; verbal comprehension, naming vocabulary, knowledge of similarities seen in pictures, and block building. A profile of the child's social and behavioural adjustment (ASBI, Hogan et al 1992), was completed by the member of the preschool staff who knew the child best. If the child changed pre-school before school entry, he or she was assessed again.

Child Measures at start of P1

At school entry, a trained researcher administered a similar battery of cognitive assessments. These included pattern construction, verbal comprehension, naming vocabulary, knowledge of similarities seen in pictures and early number concepts. Knowledge of the alphabet, rhyme and alliteration (literacy measures) were also administered. These literacy measures were then computed to give an overall measure of pre-reading ability. The Year 1 teacher completed a social behavioural profile of the child.

Child Measures at the End of P1

Children were again assessed individually at the end of their first year of primary school. The measures included early number concepts, British Ability Scales word reading, Marie Clay dictation and literacy measures. A social behavioural profile of the child was again completed by the primary 1 teacher.

Child Measures at the End of P2

Further assessments were made at the end of Year 2. In addition to NFER-NELSON standardised assessments of reading and mathematics, information on school progress, attendance and special needs was collected. Goodman Strengths & Difficulties Questionnaire and related measures were completed by the P2 teacher as a measure of the child's social behaviour.

Child Measures at the End of P3

At age 7, children are invited to report themselves on their attitudes to school. The P3 teacher again completes the Goodman Strengths & Difficulties Questionnaire and related measures.

Child Measures at the End of Key Stage 1

The end of Key Stage 1 results will be collected directly from the school that each child attends.

Measuring child/family characteristics known to have an impact on children's development

Parental interview

Shortly after the initial assessments of cognitive and social/behavioural development had been completed, one of the child's parents or guardians was interviewed. In the vast majority of cases the interview was with the child's mother. Parents were interviewed either in person when they were at the pre-school centre, or by telephone. The interview followed a semi-structured format with answers to most questions being coded into an established set of categories, and a small number of open-ended questions that were coded post hoc. The length of the interviews varied, depending on the complexity of the information to be collected, the conciseness of the parents and other factors. A typical interview might take between twenty and forty minutes of the parent's time depending upon the complexity of the information supplied by the parent.

The interview contained questions dealing with the parents, the family, the child's health, development and behaviour, the child's activities in the home, the use of pre-school provision and the childcare history. Information on individual 'child factors' such as gender, language and birth order was also collected.

Family factors were also investigated. Parent interviews provided detailed information about parent education, occupation and employment history, family structure and pre-school attendance. In addition, details about the child's day care history and parental involvement in educational activities (e.g. reading to child, teaching nursery rhymes, television viewing etc), and also other activities of the child, have been collected and analysed.

Pre-school Characteristics and Processes

Regional researchers interviewed centre managers on: group size, child staff ratio, staff training, aims, policies, curriculum, parental involvement, etc. 'Process' characteristics such as the day-to-day functioning within settings (e.g. child-staff interaction, child-child interaction, and structuring of children's activities) were also studied. The Early Childhood Environment Rating Scale (ECERS) which has been recently adapted (Harms, Clifford & Cryer 1998) and the Caregiver Interaction Scale (Arnett 1989) were also administered. The ECERS includes the following subscales:

- Space and furnishings
- Personal care routines
- Language reasoning
- Activities
- Interaction
- Programme structure
- Parents and staffing

In addition four additional sub-scales (ECERS-E) (Sylva, Siraj-Blatchford & Taggart, 2003) describing educational provision in terms of: Language, Mathematics, Science and the Environment, and Diversity were also used in each pre-school centre.

Case Studies

In addition to the quantitative data collected about children, their families and their pre-school centres, detailed qualitative data has been collected using case studies. The case studies were chosen retrospectively on the basis of the analyses of ECERS-R, ECERS-E and Inspection Report. The case studies add the fine-grained detail to how processes within centres articulate, establish and maintain good practice. There are case studies of three pre-school centres in EPPNI, and will be detailed in separate report.

The methodology of the EPPNI project is thus mixed. The detailed case studies use a variety of methods of data gathering, including documentary analysis, interviews and observations and the results help to illuminate the characteristics of more successful pre-school centres and assist in generating guidance on good practice. Particular attention has been paid to parent involvement, teaching and learning processes, child-adult interaction and social factors in learning. Inevitably there are difficulties associated with the retrospective study of process characteristics of centres and it is important to examine field notes and pre-school centre histories to establish the extent of change during the study.

Analytic Strategy

The EPPNI research was designed to enable the linking of three sets of data: information about children's attainment and development (at different points in time), information about children's personal, social and family characteristics (e.g. age, gender, SES etc), and information about preschool experience (type of centre and its characteristics).

Longitudinal research is essential to enable the impact of child characteristics (personal, social and family) to be disentangled from any influence related to the characteristics of pre-school centre attended. Given the disparate nature of children's pre-school experience it is vital to ensure that the influences of age at assessment, amount and length of pre-school experience and pre-school attendance record are accounted for when estimating the effects of pre-school education. This information is also important in its own right to provide a detailed description of the range of pre-school provision experienced by different children and any differences in the patterns of provision used by specific groups of children/parents and their relationship to parents' labour market participation. Predictor variables for attainment at entry to primary school will include prior attainment (verbal and non-verbal sub scales), social/emotional profiles, and child characteristics (personal, social and family).

The extent to which it is possible to explain (statistically) the variation in children's scores on the various measures assessed at entry to primary school will provide evidence about whether particular forms of pre-school provision have greater benefits in promoting development by the end of the pre-school period. Analyses will test out the impact of measures of pre-school process characteristics, such as the scores on various ECERS scales and pre-school centre structural characteristics such as ratios. This will provide evidence as to which measures are associated with better cognitive and social/behavioural outcomes in children.

Identifying continuing effects of pre-school centres until the end of Key Stage One

In the EPPNI research it is planned to explore the possible mid-term effects of pre-school provision on later progress and attainment in primary school until the end of Key Stage 1. Children's educational experiences are complex and over time different institutions may influence cognitive and social/behavioural development for better or worse. This study will allow the relative strength of any continuing effects of pre-school attendance to be ascertained, in comparison with the primary school influence.

The Linked Study in England 1997-2003

The Effective Provision of Pre-school Education (EPPE) project is a linked project and is under the directorship of Professor Kathy Sylva, Professor Edward Melhuish, Professor Pam Sammons, and Professor Iram Siraj-Blatchford. The study explores the characteristics of different kinds of early years provision and examines children's development in pre-school, and influences on their later adjustment and progress at primary school up to age 7 years (end of key stage 1 in England). It will help to identify the aspects of pre-school provision that have a positive impact on children's attainment, progress, and development, and so provide guidance on good practice. The research involves 141 pre-school centres randomly selected throughout 5 regions of England. The study investigates all main types of pre-school provision attended by 3 to 4 year olds in England: Playgroups, Private Day Nurseries, Nursery Classes, Nursery Schools, Local Authority Nurseries and Integrated Centres. The data from England and Northern Ireland offer opportunities for potentially useful comparisons.

Summary

The EPPNI project studies the complicated effects of amount and type of pre-school provision experienced by children and their personal, social and family characteristics on subsequent progress and development. Assessment of both cognitive and social/behavioural outcomes are made. The relationships between pre-school characteristics and children's development can be explored. The results of these analyses and the findings from the qualitative case studies of selected centres can inform both policy and practice. Comparisons with the English study (EPPE) can further illuminate the interpretation of results.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Effective Pre-school Provision in Northern Ireland (EPPNI) project is a longitudinal study that assesses the development of children followed between the ages of 3 and 8 years. Both qualitative and quantitative methods are used to explore the effects of pre-school experience on children's attainment and progress on cognitive and social/behavioural development at entry to school and up to 8 years of age. In addition to pre-school effects, the study investigates the contribution to children's development of individual and family characteristics such as gender, family size, parental education and employment. A parallel study is being carried out in England (Effective Provision of Pre-school Education - EPPE). The EPPNI and EPPE projects are the first large-scale studies in the UK to investigate the effects of different kinds of pre-school provision. They relate experience in particular centres and type of centre to child development. The data from England and Northern Ireland offer opportunities for potentially useful comparisons.

The EPPNI and EPPE projects investigate three issues that have important implications for policy and practice:

- the effects on children of different types of pre-school provision,
- the 'structural' (e.g. adult-child ratios) and 'process' characteristics (e.g. interaction styles) of more effective pre-school centres, and
- the interaction between child and family characteristics and the kind of preschool provision a child experiences.

Over 700 children were recruited from 80 pre-school centres from all Education & Library Boards in Northern Ireland. Children and their families were selected randomly in each centre to participate in the EPPNI Project. In order to examine the impact of no pre-school provision, an additional sample of 150 children without pre-school experience were recruited from the Year 1 classes that EPPNI children entered. The progress and development of the children is being followed from age 3 until the end of Key Stage 1 of primary school (age 8 years).

End of Year 1 Summary

Children's social/behavioural development was measured through a questionnaire completed by their class teacher. This questionnaire produced measures of the following factors:

Co-operation/Conformity e.g. tries to be fair in games

Sociability e.g. plays games and talks with other children

Peer Empathy e.g. is sympathetic to others' distress

Confidence e.g. tends to be proud of things she/he does

Independence and Concentration e.g. thinks things out before acting

Conduct Problems e.g. teases other children, calls them names.

The analyses have considered both the child's level of development at the end of P1 and the developmental gain (progress) over the first year of primary school having allowed for previous attainment measured at entry to primary school. The effects of child, family, home environment and child care variables on children's social behaviour measured at the end of P1, and on developmental gains or change over the P1 year are summarised below. In all cases the relationships are statistically significant, when the influence of other measures is controlled. The findings identify general tendencies for different groups of children, but do not necessarily apply to every individual in a specific group.

Child variables

- Older children scored higher on all subscales except conduct problems. Older children made more progress on sociability and independence/concentration at the end of P1.
- Girls showed less conduct problems than boys, and attained higher scores than boys on independence/concentration, co-operation/conformity, and empathy. Girls made more progress on empathy than boys at the end of P1. Boys and girls appeared to make similar progress on the remaining subscales.
- Children with heavier birth weights attained higher scores on independence/concentration and confidence.
- Previous behaviour problems had significant effects for confidence, conduct problems, cooperation/conformity and sociability. Children who had no previous behaviour problems
 attained higher scores than children with previous behaviour problems. Children who had
 previous high levels of behaviour problems made less progress on sociability over the P1
 period compared with children with no previous behaviour problems.
- Previous health problems had significant effects for sociability and cooperation/conformity with children who had no previous health problems scoring better than children who had previous health problems. Children who had previous low levels of health problems made less progress on sociability.

Parent Variables

Parental education was important.

- Children whose mothers had a degree or better obtained higher scores on independence/concentration compared to children whose mothers had no qualifications. Children whose mothers obtained 16 academic, 18 vocational or degree or above made more progress on independence/concentration across the P1 period. Children whose mothers had obtained a degree or above made more progress on confidence.
- Children whose fathers had obtained 'A' levels and above had more sociability, confidence and empathetic behaviour, than children whose fathers had no qualifications. Children whose fathers obtained 16 academic or above had higher co-operation/conformity. For progress over P1, fathers' qualifications were significant for sociability, with children whose fathers had obtained 'A' levels making more progress over the P1 period.

Parental employment also was influential.

- Children whose fathers work part–time did less well on co-operation/conformity and empathy, and had more conduct problems than children whose fathers work full-time. Children whose fathers work full-time made more progress on empathy in comparison to children whose fathers work part-time or are unemployed.
- Children whose mothers work full-time generally scored higher on confidence, sociability, empathy and independence/concentration. Children whose mothers work full-time, made more progress on sociability and independence/concentration.

Home Variables

- The Home Learning Environment is an index of the level of activities in the home offering learning opportunities to the child. The higher the score on the Home Learning Environment (HLE) Index, the higher the score attained on confidence and independence/concentration.
- Where children experienced a potentially disruptive life event they attained lower scores on cooperation/conformity and independence/concentration.
- Children who experienced peer play at home, in comparison to children who had no peer play, scored higher on co-operation/conformity, empathy, sociability and independence/concentration. They also showed less anti-social behaviour and made more progress over the P1 year on empathy.

- Where there were rules about watching T.V./video in the home, children were generally more sociable.
- Children with 3 or more siblings attained lower scores on confidence than children with no siblings.

Family Characteristics

• Children from a one-parent family made more progress on empathy in comparison with children belonging to a two-parent family. As there were no differences in attainment this indicates that these children were catching up.

Childcare factors

- Children with more group care in the first 3 years of life showed less empathy and more conduct problems. Also children who experienced more early group care, made less progress on conduct problems, cooperation/conformity, empathy and independence/concentration.
- Children with more relative care in the first 3 years were more confident and made more progress on empathy and independence/concentration.

Pre-school Effects

Home versus Pre-school Attainment

In comparison to home children, children from;

- Nursery Schools/Classes had more confidence and sociability, and less cooperation/conformity.
- Playgroups had better confidence, empathy and sociability.
- Private Day Nurseries were more sociable and confident, had more conduct problems and less co-operation/conformity.
- Reception Classes showed less co-operation/conformity, but were more sociable.
- Reception Groups had more conduct problems and showed less co-operation/conformity.

Home versus Pre-school Progress

In comparison with home children, children from

- Playgroups made more progress on empathy and sociability.
- Reception Classes and Reception Groups made more progress on empathy at the end of P1.
- Private Day Nurseries and Nursery Classes/Schools appeared to be equivalent with home children on all of the sub-scales.

Pre-school Type

In comparison to children from Reception Classes, children from;

- Nursery Classes/Schools made less progress on empathy at the end of P1.
- Private Day Nurseries made less progress on empathy.
- Playgroups made less progress on conduct problems across the P1 period.

There appeared to be no difference between children from Reception Classes and children from Reception Groups on any of the subscales.

Home and Pre-school effects can be found in table form (see Appendix 2 and Appendix 3).

Pre-school variables

- Children who attended pre-school on a full time basis made more progress over the first year of school on sociability than children who attended part-time.
- The more months that children had attended pre-school, the less conduct problems they displayed at the end of P1.
- Children who attended a pre-school where the leader had a Bachelor of Arts or Bachelor of Science qualification or a Bachelor of Education qualification, decreased in their conduct problems in comparison with children who attended a pre-school where the leader had no qualifications.

Quality of Pre-school

When the children were in pre-school the quality of early care and education was assessed by observation using 3 instruments, ECERS-R focusing on care and interaction, ECERS-E focusing on educational aspects and the Caregiver-Interaction Scale (CIS) which was a rating of caregivers interactions. Only one subscale showed a significant effect after allowing for all the other predictor variables.

 Children who attended a pre-school centre rated higher on the ECERS-R subscale for Care, made more progress on cooperation/conformity over the P1 period, but made less progress on confidence.

Summary Table for social/behavioural subscales

	Age	Gender	Birth weight	Previous health problems	Previous behaviour problems	Start of P1 social development	Mother's qualifications	Father's qualifications	Father's employment level	Mother's employment level	Developmental event	Lone parent	No. of siblings	Rules TV/Video	Home Learning Environment	Group Care	Relative Care	Peer play	Pre-school/home comparison	Pre-School Type Comparison	ELB area	Duration of Pre-school	Pre-school leader qualifications	Full time v/ part time sessions	ECERS-R subscale Care	Compositional variables
Attainment Home/pre- school																										
Co-op/conformity	✓	✓		✓	✓			✓	✓		✓							✓	✓		✓					
Sociability	✓			✓	✓			✓		✓				✓				✓	✓							
Confidence	✓		✓		✓			✓		✓			✓		✓		✓		✓		✓					
Peer empathy	✓	✓						✓	✓	✓						✓		✓	✓		✓					
Indep/conc.	✓	✓	✓				✓			✓	✓				✓			✓			✓					
Conduct problems		✓			✓				✓							✓		✓	✓		✓					
Progress up to End of P1 Home versus pre- school Co-op/conformity						✓										✓					✓					
Sociability	1			1	✓	▼				1						_			1		▼					
Confidence	_			•	•	▼	√			-									-		▼					
Peer empathy		√				✓	•		1			1					√	1	√		▼					
Indep/conc.	√					▼	√		_			-					▼	-	-		▼					
Conduct problems	•					▼										✓	_				▼					
Progress up to End of P1 Pre-School Type																*					•					
Co-op/conformity						✓										✓					✓				✓	
Sociability	✓			✓		✓		✓													✓			✓		
Confidence						✓															✓				✓	✓
Peer empathy		✓				✓			✓							✓		✓		✓	✓					
Indep/conc.	✓					✓	✓			✓						✓					✓					
Conduct problems						√										√		1		√	✓	✓	√			✓

INTRODUCTION

The Effective Pre-school Provision in Northern Ireland (EPPNI) project is a research study of children's progress and development from age three to eight years, and how progress relates to their pre-school centre experience and family background.

In the first stage of the study parents were interviewed concerning child and family characteristics. Children were also assessed on social/behavioural and cognitive development. The data provided on child and family characteristics and social/behavioural and cognitive development at the start of the study can be used to investigate social/behavioural and cognitive development at 3–4 years in relation to a range of parental, family, child, home and childcare factors. This analysis has been done and is reported in Technical Paper 2, (Melhuish et al. 2001). Cognitive and social/behavioural attainment and progress across the pre-school period has been analysed and reported in Technical Papers 4 and 5 (Melhuish et al. 2002).

This paper considers the social/behavioural attainment of children at the end of Primary 1, and the progress across the first year of primary school, in relation to the range of variables available in the EPPNI study that measure characteristics of the children, their parents, family, home and childcare history. A wide range of variables is considered and the nature of associations between family background and children's development are explored.

THE SAMPLE

The focus of the EPPNI study is on the effects of pre-school experience upon children's development. The EPPNI sample was stratified by type of centre and geographical location.

The first stage of the study involved 683 children recruited from 80 pre-school centres, including 188 children from nursery classes, 157 children from Playgroups, 117 children from Private Day Nurseries and 221 children from Reception Groups/classes. The children were aged between 3 years and 4 years 6 months (mean 43.3 months; S.D. = 5.5 months) at the beginning of the study. For 7 families, parents were unavailable for interview. Hence this paper is based on the analysis of data from 676 parental interviews of the original sample. 151 children with no pre-school experience, for whom all parents were interviewed, were also recruited to the study at the beginning of their P1 year. These children's data are included for relevant analyses.

Method of Data Collection Social/Behavioural Development

Year 1 Primary Assessments of Social/Behavioural Development

When the children started Year 1 of Primary school social/behavioural data on the children were collected in the first term. Teachers with at least 1 month's experience of working with a particular child would rate that child on the Child Social Behaviour Questionnaire (CSBQ). The CSBQ was derived by adding 15 items, taken from other studies of social behaviour for this age group, to the 30 items of the original Adaptive social Behavior Inventory, (ASBI) (Hogan et al, 1992), (See Technical Paper 5 for details). The extra 15 items were selected to sample behaviours emerging in 5-year-old children that were not covered by the original ASBI, including independence, attention related behaviours and empathy. The child's teacher also completed the CSBQ during the summer term, at the end of the first year of statutory schooling.

The Child Social/Behaviour Questionnaire (CSBQ)

This questionnaire consists of 45 items rated on a 5 point scale.

1=Rarely/never 2= not often 3=sometimes 4=usually 5=almost always

Results of a factor analysis of these 45 items resulted in the extraction of 6 underlying factors. These were:

Co-operation/Conformity e.g. tries to be fair in games

Sociability e.g. plays games and talks with other children

Peer Empathy e.g. is sympathetic to others' distress

Confidence e.g. tends to be proud of things she/he does

Independence and Concentration e.g. thinks things out before acting

Conduct Problems e.g. teases other children, calls them names.

Parental interview

Shortly after the child and family were recruited to the study, one of the child's parents or guardians was interviewed. In the vast majority of cases the interview was with the child's mother. Parents were interviewed either in person when they were at the pre-school centre, or by telephone. The interview followed a semi-structured format with answers to most questions being coded into an established set of categories, and a small number of open-ended questions that were coded post hoc. The length of the interviews varied, depending on the complexity of the information to be collected, the conciseness of the parents and other factors. A typical interview might take between twenty and forty minutes of the parent's time depending upon the complexity of the information supplied by the parent. The interview contained questions dealing with the parents, the family, the child's health, development and behaviour, the child's activities in the home, the use of pre-school provision and the childcare history.

Pre-school Environments

685 children in the study attended one of the following types of pre-school

Playgroup	N = 15
Private Day Nurseries	N = 19
Nursery Class	N=7
Nursery School	N=9
Reception Class	N=9
Reception Group	N = 21

In addition to the children in pre-school centres there were 152 children recruited to the study who had not attended a pre-school centre (Home children). These children were recruited at the start of Year 1 in Primary school.

Distribution of Children Across Pre-school Settings

Area	Nursery class/school	Playgroup	PDN	Reception class/group	Home	Total
Belfast	33	32	28	38	11	142
West	33	30	14	44	43	164
North-east	34	30	41	39	30	174
South-east	37	26	22	49	21	155
South	51	39	12	51	46	199
Total	188	157	117	221	151	834

Data Collection on Pre-school Centre Characteristics

For the centres attended by the children in the study interviews were conducted with the preschool centre manager. The topics covered in this interview included group size, child staff ratio, staff training, aims, policies, curriculum, and parental involvement.

In addition to the visits to the centres to conduct interviews there were visits to collect observational data. Process' characteristics such as the day-to-day functioning within settings (e.g.

child-staff interaction, child-child interaction, and structuring of children's activities) were studied. The Early Childhood Environment Rating Scale (ECERS) that has been recently revised (Harms, Clifford & Cryer 1998) was administered. The ECERS includes the following sub-scales:

- Space and furnishings
- Personal care routines
- Language reasoning
- Activities
- Interaction
- Programme structure
- Parents and staffing

In addition four sub-scales (ECERS-E) (Sylva et al., 2003) describing educational provision and based on Desirable Learning Outcomes were used:

- Language
- Mathematics
- Science and the Environment
- Diversity

Also at the end of a visit to a centre researchers would complete the Caregiver Interaction Scale (Arnett, 1989) that provided the following four factors.

- Positive relations
- Permissiveness
- Puntiveness
- Detachment.

Thus the project had a range of interview and direct observational data relevant to the issue of quality of pre-school provision.

Analyses of Social/Behavioural Data

The analyses presented in this report consider the children's social/behavioural development in two ways; attainment at the end of the first year of primary school (P1), and progress over the first year of primary school i.e. the P1 period.

Attainment: these analyses answer the question 'What affects the child's level of development at the end of the first year of primary school?'

In analysing attainment the child, socio-economic (area & parent), parent, family, home, childcare, and pre-school characteristics affecting the child's level of attainment at the end of primary one were considered. The child's earlier level of social/behavioural functioning is not taken into account. Attainment analyses can include a comparison between the home group and the children attending different types of pre-school.

These analyses on progress over the first year of primary school answer the question 'What affects the progress the child makes over the first year of primary school?'

In analysing progress, all possible predictor variables used in attainment were analysed, but, in addition, the child's level of social/behavioural functioning at the start of P1 is taken into account.

The strategy of analysing the end of P1 social/behavioural outcomes in a regression model where the start of P1 social/behavioural scores are always used as potential predictor variables is the equivalent to analysing the child's progress or developmental gain in social/behavioural outcomes as the initial level of social/behavioural development is taken into account.

There are consequences of this strategy for progress models.

- 1. The child's level of functioning at the start of P1 will absorb the effects of several child, parent, family and home factors, where their effects do not persist additively over the P1 period.
- 2. Where children are not showing high levels of attainment in relation to their age at the start of P1, there is more scope for progress for such children. Hence such children may show bigger progress effects, without necessarily showing high attainment at the end of the first year of primary school.

The social/behavioural factor scores for children were used as the outcome variables in a series of regression analyses. Each end of P1 social/behavioural sub-scale was analysed in terms of

- a) Children's attainment at the end of primary school and
- b) Progress across the year 1 period

The predictor variables were entered into a regression model using the "enter" method. The variables that had statistically significant (p< .05) effects were retained in the model. The other factors were removed one at a time to ensure all variables with statistically significant effects were retained. The final regression models for each outcome variable retained only the predictor variables found to have statistically significant effects on the outcome variable. The chosen significance level (conventional cut-off point) of p<.05 means that there is a less than 5% chance that the observed result is due to chance.

The predictor variables considered in the regression analyses are listed in full below.

Child characteristics

Age

Gender

Birth weight

Perinatal health difficulties

Previous developmental problems

Previous behaviour problems

Previous health problems

Parental characteristics

Socio-economic status

Mother's level of employment

Father's level of employment

Mother's qualifications

Father's qualifications

Mother's age

Father's age

Age mother left education

Age father left education

Family characteristics

Lone parent

Number of siblings

Birth position

Life events

Home characteristics

Home learning environment

Rules about bedtime

Rules about TV/video

Peer play at home

Peer play with friends elsewhere

Childcare history

Total relative care before entering the study

Total individual care before entering the study

Total group care before entering the study

Time in target centre before entering the study

Pre-school experience variables

Type of pre-school

Adult/Child Ratio

Number of sessions

Duration of time spent in pre-school

Pre-school leader qualifications

Area

Education and Library Boards (ELB)

ECERS-R

ECERS-R total score
ECERS-R sub-scales scores
Space and furnishings
Personal care routines
Language reasoning
Activities
Interaction
Programme structure
Parents and staff facilities

ECERS-E

ECERS-E total score
ECERS-E sub-scales scores
Maths
Literacy
Science/environment
Diversity

Caregiver Interaction Scale (CIS)

Positive Relations Punitiveness Permissiveness Detachment

Index of Area Deprivation

Child poverty mean

Various measures of area deprivation were considered. They were all highly correlated. Therefore it was sensible to choose one and the child poverty index seemed most appropriate.

Compositional variables

Within each pre-school centre the study has a representative sample of children recruited within the setting up phase of the project. Hence an average of the children's scores on a characteristic, leaving out the target child's score, gives a measure of the rest of the pre-school group's composition in terms of that characteristic. Such a composition variable is a useful way to incorporate analysis of peer group effects during the pre-school period.

Composition variables were computed for: Child cognitive ability Child co-operation Child peer sociability Child confidence Child anti-social behaviour Child worried behaviour Mother's education

Regression Analyses

In this section we deal with two separate types of regression models for each of the six sub-scales.

The first type of model compares the attainment of children with pre-school experience and children who entered the study with no pre-school experience. In this regression model we cannot include pre-school variables, as they are not available for the Home children because they did not attend any form of pre-school setting.

The second type of model looks at the children's progress across the P1 period and includes comparisons for children attending different types of pre-school, and is repeated for the home versus pre-school distinction. The pre-school type models include the start of P1 social/behavioural scores, pre-school type and process variables, and compositional variables in the regression model. However the comparisons for the home children cannot include these pre-school factors, as they are unavailable for this group.

Individual child, socio-economic, parent, family and home characteristics are analysed in successive stages. However in this report only the final models, which contain all significant predictor variables, are presented. The intermediate steps of the analyses are omitted. Examples of each progressive stage of the analyses are presented in Technical Paper 4 (Melhuish et al 2002).

Results

This section deals with the analyses for each separate social/behavioural subscale in terms of attainment and progress across the P1 period. The attainment models compare the home children with children attending different types of pre-school centres. The progress models then examine the P1 period and the effects on social/behavioural progress.

Table 1: End of P1 Co-operation/Conformity Attainment

 $R^2 = .17$ Adjusted $R^2 = .14$ F (27,709)= 5.42, p<.0001

	Standardised Beta	Significance
Child Variables		
Age	.22	.000
Gender	16	.000
Behavioural Problems (ompared with none)		
Low problems	09	.008
High problems	10	.003
Health Problems (compared with none)		
Low problems	11	.002
High problems	02	ns
Pre-school centre (in comparison to Home children)		
Nursery Class/School	12	.012
Playgroup	01	ns
Private Day Nursery	10	.037
Reception Class	13	.006
Reception Group	11	.010
Parent variables		
Fathers' quals. (compared with none)		
16 vocational	.03	ns
16 academic	.09	.046
18 vocational	.04	ns
18 academic	.10	.014
Degree and above	.13	.003
Father not resident	00	ns
Fathers' employment (compared with full time)		
Part time	08	.020
Self employed	.02	ns
Unemployed	02	ns
Home variables		
Event	.08	.023
Play at home (compared with none)		
Low play	.13	.002
High play	.08	.050
ELB area (ompared with Southern)		
Belfast	.13	.002
Western	01	ns
North-Eastern	02	ns
South-Eastern	.03	ns

Older children attained higher cooperation/conformity at the end of P1 than younger children. Gender was significant with boys attaining lower scores on cooperation/conformity than girls.

Children who had experienced any level of behavioural problem in the first 3 years attained lower scores on co-operation/conformity than children without behavioural problems. Children who had experienced low levels of health problems in the first 3 years attained lower scores on cooperation/conformity than children without health problems.

Fathers' qualifications and level of employment, event, peer play at home and area had significant effects. Children whose fathers obtained a 16 academic, 18 academic or degree or above qualification obtained higher cooperation/conformity scores than children whose fathers have no qualifications. Children whose fathers work full-time scored higher on co-operation/conformity than children whose fathers work part time. Children whose fathers work full-time, appeared to attain equivalent scores on co-operation/conformity, to children whose fathers were unemployed or self-employed.

Children who have experienced a potentially disruptive event in their lives, which may affect development, attained lower scores on co-operation/conformity than children who had not experienced such an event.

Children who had experienced any level of peer play at home were more co-operative/conforming at the end of year 1 compared with children who had no peer play at home.

Children from Belfast ELB attained higher scores on co-operation/conformity compared with children from Southern ELB whilst the other ELB areas appeared to have equivalent co-operation/conformity scores to the Southern ELB.

Children from nursery classes/schools, private day nurseries, reception classes and reception groups scored lower on co-operation/conformity than home children. There appeared to be no difference between home children and playgroup children on co-operation/conformity attainment.

Pre-school Type Progress

In this section progress is examined for the home versus pre-school groups and also for children attending different types of pre-school. The beginning of P1 social/behavioural variables were entered into the analyses to enable the analysis of progress over the first year of primary school. Progress was analysed in terms of the previously mentioned child, SES, parental, home and family background variables. For the pre-school type progress models, pre-school processes and characteristics were also analysed. These could not be included in the pre-school versus home models as the information is not available for the home group.

Progress on co-operation/conformity at the end of P1 refers to the child's score on this outcome having allowed for the child's social/behavioural development at the start of P1.

Table 2: End of P1 Co-operation/Conformity Progress (Home Versus Pre-school)

	Beta	Significance
Start of P1 social development		
Co-operation/Conformity	.68	.000
Childcare history		
Group care	09	.003
ELB area (compared with Southern)		
Belfast	.04	ns
Western	13	.001
North-Eastern	07	ns
South-Eastern	.03	ns

The higher the children were rated on co-operation/conformity at the start of P1, the higher their co-operation/conformity scores at the end of P1. This aspect of children's behaviour was very stable across the P1 period.

The more group care experienced by children prior to joining the study, then the less progress that was made on cooperation/conformity at the end of P1.

Children who attended pre-school in the Western ELB made less progress on cooperation/conformity at the end of P1 compared with children from the Southern ELB. The other ELB areas appeared to be equivalent to the Southern ELB on co-operation/conformity progress.

There appeared to be no difference between the home children and pre-school children on cooperation/conformity progress at the end of P1.

Table 3: End of P1 Co-operation/Conformity Progress (Pre-school Type)

R²=. 53 Adjusted R²=. 52 F (7,502)=79.34, p<.0001

	Beta	Significance
Start of P1 social development		
Co-operation/Conformity	.70	.000
Childcare history		
Group care	14	.000
ELB Area (in comparison to Southern)		
Belfast	.02	ns
Western	11	.005
North Eastern	08	ns
South Eastern	.02	ns
ECERS-R sub-scales		
Care	.14	.002

Children who were rated highly on co-operation/conformity at the start of P1 scored more highly on this measure at the end of P1.

Children who had experienced more group care prior to joining the study, made less progress on co-operation/conformity at the end of P1.

Children who attended pre-school in the Western ELB area made less progress on cooperation/conformity at the end of P1 in comparison with children from the Southern ELB area. Children from the other ELB areas appeared to make similar progress to children from the Southern ELB on co-operation/conformity at the end of P1.

Children who attended pre-school centres rated higher on ECERS-R/Care, made more progress on cooperation/conformity at the end of P1.

After analysing the full range of child, SES, parent, family, home and pre-school characteristics and processes there appeared to be no significant difference between children attending different types of pre-school on co-operation/conformity progress.

Sociability

Table 4: End of P1 Sociability (Attainment)

R²=. 176 Adjusted R²=. 151 F (22,714)=6.933, p<.0001

	Beta	Significance
Child variables		
Age	.23	.000
Health problems (compared with none)		
Low problems	10	.003
High problems	01	ns
Behaviour problems (compared with none)		
Low problems	06	ns
High problems	11	.002
Pre-school centre (in comparison to Home children)		
Nursery Class/School	.15	.001
Playgroup	.20	.000
Private Day Nursery	.14	.003
Reception Class	.10	.042
Reception Group	.08	ns
Parent variables		
Fathers' quals (compared with none)		
16 vocational	.00	ns
16 academic	05	ns
18 vocational	.02	ns
18 academic	.09	.023
Degree or above	.11	.011
Father not resident	.02	ns
Mothers' employment level (compared with full time)		
Employed part time	12	.003
Unemployed	17	.000
Home variables		
Rules about TV/video	.07	.039
Home play (compared with none)		
Low play	.11	.007
High play	.09	.030

Older children attained higher scores on sociability than younger children. Children who experienced low levels of health problems during their first three years attained lower scores on sociability than children who did not have previous health problems. Children who had high levels of behaviour problems in the first 3 years attained lower scores on sociability than children without any behavioural problems.

There was a significant difference between home children and children who attended nursery classes/schools, playgroups, private day nurseries and reception classes with children who attended these centres attaining higher scores on sociability at the end of P1 in comparison to home children. The difference on sociability attainment between home children and children from reception groups was not great enough to be statistically significant.

Fathers' qualifications had a significant effect with children whose fathers had obtained 18 academic or above qualifications showing higher sociability attainment in comparison to children whose fathers have no qualifications. Mothers' employment level also had a significant effect with children whose mothers are employed part-time or unemployed showing lower attainment on sociability at the end of P1 in comparison to children whose mothers are employed full-time.

Rules about watching TV/video had a significant effect. Children who lived in homes that had rules about TV/video attained higher scores on sociability at the end of P1. Children who engaged in any amount of peer play at home attained higher scores on sociability compared with children who had experienced no peer play at home.

Table 4: End of P1 Sociability Progress (Home Versus Pre-school)

R²=.437 Adjusted R²=.422 F(17,619)=28.26, p<.0001

	Beta	Significance
Start of P1 social development		
Sociability	.55	.000
Child variables		
Age	.15	.000
Health problems (compared with none)		
Low problems	08	.013
High problems	04	ns
Behaviour problems (compared with none)		
Low problems	05	ns
High problems	07	.022
Pre-school centre (in comparison to Home children)		
Nursery Class/School	.06	ns
Playgroup	.09	.027
Private Day Nursery	.08	ns
Reception Class	.02	ns
Reception Group	.01	ns
Parent variables		
Mothers' level of employment (compared with full time)		
Employed part time	04	ns
Unemployed	09	.017
ELB Area (in comparison to Southern)		
Belfast	.05	ns
Western	11	.004
North-Eastern	08	.041
South-Eastern	.06	ns

Children with higher sociability at the start of P1 had higher sociability at the end of P1.

Older children made more progress on sociability at the end of P1. Children who experienced low levels of health problems made less progress on sociability during P1, than children who had not experienced health problems. Behavioural problems also had a significant effect with children who experienced high behavioural problems showing less progress on sociability during P1 in comparison to children did not have previous behavioural problems.

Children who attended playgroups made more progress on sociability in comparison to home children. Children who attended any other type of pre-school did not attain significantly greater scores to home children on sociability progress.

Children whose mothers are unemployed made less progress on sociability during P1 in comparison to children whose mothers are employed full-time.

Children from the Western and the North-Eastern ELB areas made less progress on sociability than children from the Southern ELB. Children from the Belfast and South-Eastern ELB areas appeared to make similar progress on sociability to the Southern ELB area.

Table 5: End of P1 Sociability Progress (Pre-school Type)

 R^2 =.42 Adjusted R^2 =.40 F(15,487)= 23.14, p<.0001

	Beta	Significance
Start of P1 social development		
Sociability	.54	.000
Child variables		
Age	.20	.000
Health problems (compared with none)		
Low problems	12	.001
High problems	.01	ns
Parent variables		
Fathers' quals (compared with none)		
16 vocational	02	ns
16 academic	02	ns
18 vocational	.01	ns
18 academic	.10	.012
Degree or above	.07	ns
Father not resident	.01	ns
Pre-school characteristics		
Full-time versus part-time sessions	.10	.011
ELB area (compared with Southern)		
Belfast	.04	ns
Western	13	.004
North-Eastern	03	ns
South-Eastern	.13	.006

Children with higher sociability at the start of P1 showed more sociability at the end of P1.

Older children made more progress on sociability at the end of P1. Children who had experienced low levels of health problems made less progress on sociability at the end of P1 in comparison to children who had no previous health problems.

Fathers' qualifications showed a significant effect, with children whose fathers had achieved A levels, making more progress on sociability than children whose fathers have no qualifications.

Children who attended pre-school full-time made more progress on sociability across the P1 year compared with children who attended pre-school part-time.

Children from the Western ELB showed less progress on sociability in comparison to children from the Southern ELB. Children from the South-Eastern ELB showed more progress on sociability at the end of P1 in comparison to the children from the Southern ELB. There appeared to be no difference on sociability progress between the Southern ELB, Belfast ELB and North Eastern ELB areas.

After allowing for the full range of background variables there seemed to be no difference in the level of progress shown on sociability by children who had attended different types of pre-school centres.

Confidence

Table 6: End of P1 Confidence (Attainment)

 $R^2 = .18$ Adjusted $R^2 = .15$ F(26,636) = 5.41, p < 0.0001

	Standardised Beta	Significance
Child Variables		O
Age	.25	.000
Birth weight	.09	.016
Behavioural Problems (compared with none)		
Low problems	07	.050
High problems	02	ns
Pre-school centre (in comparison to		
Home children)		
Nursery Class/School	.12	.038
Playgroup	.14	.015
Private Day Nursery	.14	.021
Reception Class	.02	ns
Reception Group	.08	ns
Parental Characteristics		
Mothers' level of employment (compared with		
Full-time)		
Part-time	12	.006
Unemployed	07	ns
Fathers' quals (compared with none)		
16 vocational	.02	ns
16 academic	02	ns
18 vocational	00	ns
18 academic	.11	.008
Degree or Above	.11	.017
Father not resident	03	ns
Family and Home Factors		
No. of siblings (compared with none)		
1 sibling	03	ns
2 siblings	03	ns
3+ siblings	14	.006
Home Learning Environment	.09	.013
Childcare variables		
Relative care	.08	.025
ELB Area (compared with Southern)		
Belfast	.06	ns
Western	10	.018
North-Eastern	06	ns
South-Eastern	00	ns

Age, birth weight and previous behaviour problems had an effect, with older children and heavier birth weight children tending to attain higher scores on confidence. Children who experienced low levels of behavioural problems attained lower scores on confidence than children with no previous behavioural problems

Mothers' level of employment had an effect on confidence attainment at the end of P1 with children whose mothers are employed part-time showing lower attainment on confidence in comparison to children whose mothers are employed full-time. Children whose fathers had achieved academic qualifications at 18 or a degree/above attained higher scores on confidence in comparison to children whose fathers had no qualifications.

The number of siblings that children have was also significant. Children who have three or more siblings, scored lower on confidence compared to children with no siblings.

As the home learning index increases, so do the children's scores on confidence increase.

Where children had higher levels of relative care prior to joining the study, they scored higher on confidence at the end of P1 than the other children in the study.

Children attending schools in the Western ELB attained lower scores on confidence compared to children from the Southern ELB. The remaining ELB areas appeared to attain similar scores on confidence to the Southern ELB area.

After accounting for a wide range of background variables, children who attended nursery class/school, playgroup and private day nursery attained higher scores on confidence than home children. There appeared to be no difference between home children and children from reception classes or reception groups on confidence.

Table 7: End of P1 Confidence Progress (Home versus Pre-school)

$$R^2 = .38$$

Adjusted $R^2 = .37$
F (10,626)= 38.42, p<.0001

	Standardised Beta	Significance
P1 Social Development		
Confidence	.57	.000
Parental Characteristics		
Mothers' quals (compared with none)		
16 vocational	.02	ns
16 academic	.07	ns
18 vocational	.06	ns
18 academic	.04	ns
Degree or Above	.12	.003
ELB Area (in comparison to Southern)		
Belfast	.05	ns
Western	10	.009
North-Eastern	03	ns
South-Eastern	.03	ns

Children who scored higher on confidence at the start of P1 scored higher at the end of P1.

Mothers' qualifications had a significant effect. Children whose mothers obtained a degree or above made more progress on confidence at the end of P1 compared with children whose mothers have no qualifications.

Children who attended pre-school in the Western ELB made less progress on confidence at the end of P1 in comparison with children who attended pre-school in the Southern ELB. The rest of the ELB areas appeared to be equivalent to the Southern ELB on confidence progress.

After accounting for a wide range of background variables, there appeared to be no difference between home children and children who attended pre-school on confidence progress.

Table 8: End of P1 Confidence Progress (Pre-school Type)

$$R^2 = .38$$

Adjusted $R^2 = .37$
 $F(7,482) = 41.97, p < .0001$

	Standardised Beta	Significance
P1 Social Development		
Confidence	.51	.000
ELB Area (compared to Southern)		
Belfast	01	ns
Western	19	.000
North-Eastern	08	ns
South-Eastern	.03	ns
ECERS subscales		
ECERS-R/Care	12	.002
Compositional variables		
Peer sociability	.07	.05

Children with higher confidence at the start of P1 were higher in confidence at the end of P1.

ELB area also had an effect with children from the Western ELB showing less progress on confidence across the P1 period in comparison to children from Southern ELB area. Children from all other ELB areas appeared to be equivalent to children from Southern ELB on confidence progress.

Children who attended pre-school centres rated higher on ECERS-R/care tended to make less progress on confidence across the P1 year.

The higher the level of sociability for the peer group in the pre-school class, the more progress the children made on confidence over the P1 year.

There appeared to be no difference between children who attended different types of pre-school centre on confidence progress, after allowing for a wide range of background variables.

Empathy

Table 9: End of P1 Empathy (Attainment)

 $R^2 = .201$ Adjusted R²=. 17 F (25,644)=6.47, p<.0001

	Beta	Significance
Child variables		
Age	.17	.000
Gender	20	.000
Pre-school centre in comparison to Home		
children)		
Nursery Class/School	.08	ns
Playgroup	.17	.003
Private Day Nursery	01	ns
Reception Class	.08	ns
Reception Group	.09	ns
Parent variables		
Fathers' qualifications (compared with none)		
16 vocational	02	ns
16 academic	03	ns
18 vocational	.06	ns
18 academic	.08	.044
Degree or above	.10	.044
Father not resident	.00	ns
Mothers' employment (compared with full time)		
Part time	10	.014
Unemployed	10	.036
Fathers' employment (compared with full time)		
Part-time Part-time	07	.026
Self employed	.02	ns
Unemployed	07	ns
Home Variables		
Home play (compared with none)		
Low home play	.16	.000
High home play	.15	.001
Child care variables		
Group care	09	.014
ELB Area (compared with Southern)		
Belfast	.10	.020
Western	09	.050
North-Eastern	06	ns
South-Eastern	.03	ns

Older children attained higher scores than younger children on empathy. Additionally, girls scored higher than boys on empathy.

Children who attended playgroups showed higher attainment on empathy in comparison with home children. Children who attended nursery classes/schools, private day nurseries and reception classes/groups appeared to attain equivalent scores to home children on empathy.

Fathers' qualifications had a significant effect with children whose fathers have an 18 academic or above qualification attaining higher scores on empathy in comparison to children whose fathers have no qualifications.

Mothers' employment had a significant effect with children whose mothers work full-time attaining higher scores on empathy than children whose mothers work part-time or who are unemployed.

Fathers' employment level also had a significant effect with children whose fathers work part-time or are unemployed attaining lower scores on empathy at the end of P1 in comparison with children whose fathers work full-time.

Children who had any level of peer play at home attained higher scores on empathy compared with children who were not reported as having peer play.

Of the childcare variables, group care had a significant effect with children who had received more group care prior to entering the study attaining lower scores on empathy at the end of P1.

Children from Belfast ELB showed higher empathy attainment in comparison with children from the Southern ELB area. Children from Western ELB attained lower scores on empathy at the end of P1 compared to children from the Southern ELB. Children from the Southern ELB area appeared to attain equivalent scores on empathy to children from the North Eastern and South Eastern ELB areas.

Table 10: End of P1 Empathy Progress (Home Versus Pre-School)

R²=. 44 Adjusted R²=. 42 F (21,544)=20.57, p<.0001

	Beta	Significance
Start of P1 social development		
Empathy	.52	.000
Child variables		
Gender	11	.002
Pre-school centre attended by		
child (in comparison to Home children)		
Nursery Class/School	.10	ns
Playgroup	.18	.001
Private Day Nursery	.07	ns
Reception Class	.15	.001
Reception Group	.09	.045
Parent variables		
Fathers' employment level (compared with		
full time)		
Part-time Part-time	07	.026
Self-employed	06	ns
Unemployed	08	.017
Home variables		
Home play versus none		
Low home play	.14	.000
High home play	.10	.012
Family characteristics		
Lone parent family	.07	.041
Child care variables		
Relative care	.08	.014
ELB Area (compared with Southern)		
Belfast	.04	ns
Western	12	.006
North-Eastern	08	ns
South-Eastern	.04	ns

Children with higher levels of empathy at the beginning of P1 showed more empathy at the end of the P1 period. Girls made more progress on empathy.

Children who attended playgroups, reception classes and reception groups made significantly more progress on empathy across the P1 year in comparison to the home group. Children who attended the remaining types of pre-school provision did not make significantly greater progress on empathy than home children.

Fathers' employment level had a significant effect with children whose fathers work part time or are unemployed making less progress on empathy compared with children whose fathers work full-time. There appeared to be no difference on empathy progress between children whose fathers are self-employed and children whose fathers work full-time.

Of the Home variables, peer play at home had a significant effect, with children who experienced any amount of peer play at home making more progress on empathy at the end of P1 compared with children who had no peer play at home.

Children from lone parent families made more progress on empathy compared with children from two parent families.

The amount of relative care experienced by a child had a significant effect on empathy progress at the end of P1 with children who had received more relative care making more progress.

Children from the Western ELB showed less progress on empathy in comparison to children from the Southern ELB. All other ELB areas appeared to be equivalent to the Southern ELB on empathy progress.

Table 11: End of P1 Empathy Progress (Pre-School Type)

R²=. 41 Adjusted R²=. 39 F (16,493)=21.484, p<.0001

	Beta	Significance
Start of P1 social development		
Empathy	.52	.000
Child variables		
Gender	13	.000
Pre-school centre attended by child		
(in comparison to Reception Classes)		
Nursery Class/School	12	.018
Playgroup	02	ns
Private Day Nursery	13	.011
Reception Group	04	ns
Parent variables		
Fathers' employment (compared with full time)		
Part time	09	.009
Self employed	06	ns
Unemployed	09	.018
Home variables		
Home play (compared with none)		
Low home play	.11	.007
High home play	.09	.028
Child care variables		
Total group care	09	.011
ELB Area (in comparison to Southern)		
Belfast	.04	ns
Western	10	.028
North-Eastern	07	ns
South-Eastern	.04	ns

Children with higher levels of empathy at the beginning of P1 showed more empathy at the end of the P1 year. Girls showed more progress than boys.

Children whose fathers work part-time or are unemployed made less progress on empathy across the P1 period compared with children whose fathers work full-time.

Of the home variables, the amount of peer play in which the child participated in at home had an effect with children who had participated in any level of peer play at home making more progress on empathy over the P1 year in comparison with children who had no peer play at home.

Of the childcare variables, group care had a significant effect. Children who had experienced more group care prior to entering the study made less progress on empathy across the P1 period.

Children who attended pre-school in the Western ELB made less progress on empathy over the P1 period compared with children from the Southern ELB. All other ELB areas appeared to be equal to the Southern ELB on empathy progress.

After accounting for a broad range of background variables, children from nursery classes/schools and private day nurseries made less progress on empathy at the end of P1, in comparison to children from reception classes. Children from playgroups and reception groups appeared to make similar progress to children from reception classes on empathy at the end of P1, but the attainment analyses show that playgroup children attained higher scores overall.

Independence/Concentration

Table 12: End of P1 Independence/Concentration (Attainment)

R²=. 21 Adjusted R²=. 19 F (18,717)=10.48, p<.0001

	Beta	Significance
Child variables		
Age	.29	.000
Gender	09	.008
Birth weight	.10	.005
Parent variables		
Mothers' quals. (compared with none)		
16 vocational	.02	ns
16 academic	.04	ns
18 vocational	.08	ns
18 academic	.04	ns
Degree or above	.18	.000
Mothers' level of employment (compared with		
full time)		
Part-time	10	.011
Unemployed	13	.002
Home variables		
Home Learning Environment	.09	.010
Event	.09	.008
Home play (compared with none)		
Low play	.11	.004
High play	.03	ns
ELB area (compared with Southern)		
Belfast	.04	ns
Western	10	.016
North-Eastern	00	ns
South-Eastern	04	ns

Age, gender and birth weight had significant effects with older children, girls and children with heavier birth weights attaining higher scores on independence/concentration.

Of the parent variables, mothers' qualifications and mothers' level of employment had significant effects. Children whose mothers had achieved a degree or above showed higher attainment on independence/concentration in comparison to children whose mothers have no qualifications. Children whose mothers are employed part-time or are unemployed attained lower scores on independence/concentration in comparison to children whose mothers are employed full-time.

The higher the rating on the home learning index, the higher the score attained on independence/concentration at the end of P1.

Children who had experienced any event that could be deemed as affecting development attained lower scores on independence/concentration at the end of P1. Children who participated in low levels of peer play at home attained higher scores on independence/concentration at the end of P1 in comparison with children who had experienced no peer play at home.

The ELB area in which children attended pre-school also had a significant effect with children form the Western ELB attaining lower scores on independence/concentration in comparison with children from the Southern ELB. Children from all other ELB areas appeared to be equivalent to children from the Southern ELB on independence/concentration attainment.

Table 13: End of P1 Independence/Concentration Progress (Home versus Pre-school)

R²=. 57 Adjusted R²=. 56 F (12,553)=61.44, p<.0001

	Beta	Significance
Start of P1 social development		
Independence/Concentration	.68	.000
Child variables		
Age	.11	.000
Parent variables		
Mothers' quals. (compared with none)		
16 vocational	.05	ns
16 academic	.09	.029
18 vocational	.10	.005
18 academic	.02	ns
Degree or above	.11	.004
Childcare history		
Relative care	.07	.014
ELB Area (compared with Southern)		
Belfast	.01	ns
Western	15	.000
North-Eastern	05	ns
South-Eastern	.03	ns

Children with higher independence/concentration at the beginning of P1 scored higher on this subscale at the end of P1. Older children made more progress on independence/concentration than younger children.

Mothers' qualifications also showed a significant effect, with children whose mothers have 16 academic, 18 vocational or degree or above qualifications making more progress on independence/concentration at the end P1 in comparison with children whose mothers have no qualifications.

Of the childcare variables, relative care had a significant effect. Children who had experienced more relative care prior to the study made more progress on independence/concentration at the end of P1.

The ELB area also had a significant effect with children who attended pre-school in the Western ELB making less progress on independence/concentration in comparison with children from the Southern ELB. Children from all other ELB areas appeared to be equivalent to children from the Southern ELB on independence/concentration progress.

There appeared to be no difference on independence/concentration progress between home children and children from different types of pre-school centre.

Table 14: End of P1 Independence/Concentration Progress (Pre-school Type)

R²=.58 Adjusted R²=.56 F(14,551)=53.149, p<.0001

	Beta	Significance
Start of P1 social development		
Independence/concentration	.68	.000
Child variables		
Age	.12	.000
Parent variables		
Mothers' qualifications (compared with none)		
16 vocational	.05	ns
16 academic	.08	.04
18 vocational	.10	.006
18 academic	.02	ns
Degree or above	.09	.019
Mothers' employment (compared with full time)		
Part-time Part-time	04	ns
Unemployed	08	.025
Childcare history		
Group care	07	.018
ELB Area (compared with Southern)		
Belfast	001	ns
Western	15	.000
North-Eastern	06	ns
South-Eastern	.02	ns

Children with higher independence/concentration at the beginning of P1 scored higher at the end of the P1 period. Older children showed more progress than younger children on independence/concentration across the P1 period.

Mothers' qualifications had a significant effect with children whose mothers obtained 16 academic, 18 vocational or degree or above qualifications making more progress on independence/concentration compared with children whose mothers have no qualifications.

Children whose mothers are unemployed made less progress on independence/concentration in comparison with children whose mothers are employed full-time.

Children who received higher levels of group care prior to the study, made less progress on independence/concentration.

Children from the Western ELB made less progress on independence/concentration compared with children from the Southern ELB. Children from all other ELB areas appeared to be equivalent to children from the Southern ELB on independence/concentration progress.

There seemed to be no difference between children who attended different types of pre-school in progress on independence/concentration.

Conduct Problems

Table 15: End of P1 Conduct Problems (Attainment)

 $R^2 = .14$ Adjusted $R^2 = .114$ F (18,651)= 5.76, p<..0001

	Beta	Significance
Child Variables		
Gender	.09	.016
Behavioural Problems (compared with none)		
Low problems	.11	.003
High problems	.06	ns
Pre-school centre (in comparison to Home children)		
Nursery Class/School	.12	ns
Playgroup	.05	ns
Private Day Nursery	.15	.008
Reception Class	.09	ns
Reception Group	.12	.013
Parental Characteristics		
Fathers' level of employment (compared with Full-time)		
Part-time	.10	.006
Self employed	01	ns
Unemployed	.05	ns
Home		
Peer play at home (in comparison to none)		
Home Play Low	13	.002
Home Play High	05	ns
Childcare		
Group care	.17	.000
ELB Area (in comparison with the Southern)		
Belfast	17	.000
Western	.06	ns
North-Eastern	.01	ns
South-Eastern	03	ns

Girls attained lower scores on conduct problems than boys.

Children who had a low level of behaviour problems in the first three years attained higher scores on conduct problems at the end of P1 in comparison to children with no previous behavioural problems.

Children who attended private day nurseries and reception groups had more conduct problems at the end of P1 compared with home children. Children from nursery classes/schools, playgroups and reception classes did not attain significantly greater scores than home children on conduct problems.

Children whose fathers are employed part-time attained higher scores on conduct problems than children whose fathers work full-time. No difference was apparent on conduct problems between children whose fathers work full-time and children whose fathers are unemployed or self-employed.

Children who experienced low levels of peer play at home attained lower scores, indicating less conduct problems in comparison to children who had no peer play at home.

Children who experienced more group care prior to the study attained higher scores on conduct problems at the end of P1.

In comparison with children who attended pre-school in the Southern ELB, children from Belfast ELB were rated as showing less conduct problems. Children from the remaining ELB areas appeared to attain equivalent scores on conduct problems to children from the Southern ELB.

Table 16: End of P1 Conduct Problems Progress (Pre-school versus Home)

$$R^2 = .40$$

Adjusted $R^2 = .39$
 $F(12,553) = 31.30, p < .0001$

	Beta	Significance
P1 social development		
P1 Conduct Problems	.56	.000
Childcare variables		
Group care	.12	.001
ELB area (in comparison to Southern)		
Belfast	07	ns
Western	.11	.008
North-Eastern	.03	ns
South-Eastern	08	ns

Children who scored higher on conduct problems at the start of P1 scored higher at the end of the P1 year.

Children who received more group care prior to joining the study showed a relative increase in conduct problems compared to other children in the study during the P1 period.

Children from the Western ELB showed less of a decrease (relative increase)in conduct problems during the P1 period compared with children from Southern ELB. Children from the remaining ELB areas appeared to make similar progress to children from the Southern ELB area on conduct problems.

After allowing for the full range of background variables, there appeared to be no difference between the home children and pre-school groups on conduct problems progress at the end of P1.

Table 17: End of P1 Conduct Problems Progress (Pre-school Type)

 $R^2 = .43$ Adjusted $R^2 = .41$ F(18,446) = 18.63, p < .0001

	Standardised Beta	Significance
P1 Social Development		
P1 Conduct Problems	.56	.000
Pre-school centre attended by		
child (in comparison with reception		
classes)		
Nursery Class/School	06	ns
Playgroup	25	.007
Private Day Nursery	01	ns
Reception Group	10	ns
Childcare variables		
Group care	.13	.001
ELB Area (in comparison to		
Southern)		
Belfast	07	ns
Western	.10	.033
North-Eastern	.04	ns
South-Eastern	12	ns
Pre-school characteristics		
Duration at pre-school	21	.004
Pre-school leader qualifications		
(in comparison with none)		
NIPPA qualifications	04	ns
Montessori	07	ns
Btec/NNEB	12	ns
BA/BSc	14	.009
BEd	36	.000
Compositional sub-scales		
Cooperation/conformity	13	.001

Children with higher scores on conduct problems at the start of P1 showed higher scores at the end of the P1 period.

Children who received more group care prior to the study showed higher levels of conduct problems and therefore a relative increase in conduct problems across the P1 period.

Children from the Western ELB showed an increase across the P1 year relative to the pattern of change for the children from the Southern ELB, however this difference was relatively small. Children from the remaining ELB areas appeared to make similar progress to children from the Southern ELB area on conduct problems.

The longer the time period children spent at pre-school, the less conduct problems children displayed over the P1 year.

Children who attended a pre-school where the pre-school leader had a Bachelor of Arts or Bachelor of Science qualification or a Bachelor of Education qualification, decreased in conduct problems across the P1 year in comparison with children who attended a pre-school where the leader had no qualifications.

The more co-operative/conforming the peer group overall were in the pre-school setting, the less the conduct problems across the P1 period, indicating that conduct problems decreased across the P1 period.

After accounting for an extensive range of background variables, the type of pre-school centre the child attended was significant for progress on conduct problems at the end of P1. In comparison to children from reception classes, children who attended playgroups showed a decrease in conduct problems at the end of P1: i.e. the conduct problems of children who attended playgroups decreased over the P1 year more than that of children from reception classes.

Attainment Summaries

Home verses Pre-school

Child Variables:

- Age showed significant effects for all sub-scales except conduct problems. In each case older children attained higher social/behavioural scores.
- Gender had an effect with girls having more independence/concentration, cooperation/conformity, empathy and less conduct problems than boys.
- Birth weight only showed effects for independence/concentration and confidence. Children with heavier birth weights generally had higher scores.
- Behaviour problems during the first three years were significant for confidence, conduct problems, co-operation/conformity and sociability. Children with low levels of behaviour problems attained lower scores on confidence and had more conduct problems compared with children with no behaviour problems. Children with high levels of behaviour problems were less sociable, whilst children who had low behavioural problems appeared equal to those with no problems on sociability. Children with any level of reported behavioural problems were less co-operative/conforming than children with no problems.
- Health problems affected sociability and co-operation/conformity. For sociability, children
 who had low levels of health problems scored lower than children who had no previous
 health problems. For cooperation/conformity, children who had low levels of health
 problems attained lower scores than children who had no problems whilst children who
 had high levels of previous health problems appeared equivalent to children with no
 problems.

Parent Variables

- Mothers' qualifications were significant for independence/concentration, with children
 whose mothers obtained a degree or above attaining higher scores on
 independence/concentration compared with children whose mothers have no
 qualifications.
- Fathers' qualifications showed significant effects for empathy, confidence, sociability and co-operation/conformity. The trend is for children whose fathers obtained 18 academic qualifications and above to attain higher scores on sociability, confidence, and empathy than children whose fathers have no qualifications. Children whose fathers obtained 16 academic qualifications, 18 academic qualifications or above were seen to have higher co-operation/conformity.
- Fathers' employment level showed effects for empathy, co-operation/conformity and conduct problems. Children whose fathers work full-time scored higher on co-operation/conformity and empathy and had less conduct problems than children whose fathers work part-time.
- Mothers' employment level was significant for confidence, sociability, empathy and
 independence/concentration. Children whose mothers work full-time generally scored
 higher on confidence and empathy than those whose mothers work part-time. Children
 whose mothers work full-time scored higher on sociability and
 independence/concentration than children whose mothers are unemployed or work parttime.

Home Variables

- Home Learning Environment (HLE) was significant only for confidence and independence/concentration. The higher the ratings on the HLE index, the higher the children's score.
- Life events that might affect development influence co-operation/conformity and independence/concentration, in that children who had experienced such a life event during their first 3 years had lower scores on independence/concentration and co-operation/conformity.
- Children who had low levels of peer play at home had more independence/concentration, and less conduct problems than children who had no peer play at home. Children with any amount of home play scored higher on co-operation/conformity, empathy and sociability compared with children who had no peer play at home.
- Where there were rules about watching TV and video in the home, children were generally more sociable.
- The number of siblings that a family has also had a significant effect, with children who have 3 or more siblings attaining lower scores on confidence than children who have no brothers or sisters.

Childcare Factors

- Children with more group care prior to joining the study showed less empathy and more conduct problems compared with those children who had no previous group care.
- Children with more relative care prior to the project were more confident than other children.

Area

In comparison with the Southern ELB, children from;

- Belfast ELB had more empathy, were more co-operative/conforming and were rated as showing less conduct problems.
- Western ELB scored lower on empathy, independence/concentration and confidence. Children from the North Eastern and South Eastern ELB areas appeared to have similar attainment scores on all subscales.

Pre-school Effects

In comparison with home children, children who attended;

- Playgroups had higher confidence, empathy and sociability.
- Nursery Schools/Classes had more confidence and sociability. However they also had less co-operation/conformity.
- Private Day Nurseries were more sociable and more confident, had more conduct problems and less co-operation/conformity.
- Reception Classes were less co-operative/conformative but more sociable.
- Reception Groups had more conduct problems and showed less co-operation/conformity.

Progress Summaries

Home Vs Pre-school

P1 social/behavioural outcomes

- The start of P1 cooperation/conformity score had significant effects for cooperation/conformity scores at the end of P1. Those scoring higher on this measure at the start of P1 made more progress on cooperation/conformity at the end of P1
- Children scoring higher on the conduct problems score at the start of P1 had more conduct problems at the end of P1.
- Children scoring higher on empathy at the start of P1 showed more empathy at the end of P1.
- Children scoring higher on sociability at the start of P1 were found to make more progress on sociability during P1.
- Children scoring higher on independence/concentration at the start of P1 also made more progress on independence/concentration during P1.
- Children scoring higher on confidence at the beginning of P1 made more progress on confidence across the P1 year.

Child variables

- Age showed significant effects for sociability and independence/concentration with older children making more progress on both of the subscales mentioned.
- On empathy, girls made more progress than boys.
- Health problems affected sociability with children who had low levels of health problems making less progress on sociability than those who had no health problems.
- Behaviour problems affected sociability with children who had high levels of behaviour problems making less progress than children without behaviour problems on sociability.

Parent variables

- Mothers' qualifications had significant effects on independence/concentration and
 confidence progress. Children whose mothers obtained a degree or above made more
 progress on confidence at the end of P1. Children made more progress on
 independence/concentration when mothers had 16 academic, 18 vocational or a
 degree or above qualification. All these findings were in comparison to children whose
 mothers had no qualifications.
- Mothers' employment level showed significant results for sociability. Children whose
 mothers work full-time made more progress on sociability compared with children
 whose mothers are unemployed.
- Fathers' employment level showed significant results for empathy. Children whose fathers work full-time made more progress on empathy than children whose fathers work part-time or are unemployed.

Home variables

• Children who had any level of peer play at home were found to make more progress on empathy in comparison to children who had no peer-play at home.

Childcare variables

- Children who experienced more group care prior to joining the study showed more of an increase in conduct problems compared with other children, and made less progress on cooperation/conformity.
- Children who experienced more relative care prior to joining the study made more progress on empathy and independence/concentration.

Family characteristics

• Children from a lone parent family made more progress on empathy than children from a two-parent family.

Area

In comparison with the Southern ELB, children from:

- Western ELB made less progress on confidence, empathy, co-operation/conformity, independence/concentration, and sociability and had more conduct problems at the end of P1.
- North Eastern ELB made less progress on sociability. Belfast and South Eastern ELB areas appeared to make similar progress on all subscales.

Pre-school effects

In comparison with home children, children from;

- Playgroups made more progress on empathy and sociability.
- Reception Classes and Reception Groups made more progress on empathy.

Private Day Nurseries and Nursery Classes/Schools appeared to make similar progress to home children on all six subscales.

Pre-school Type

P1 social/behavioural outcomes

- Children scoring higher on cooperation/conformity at the start of P1 made more progress on cooperation/conformity at the end of P1.
- Children scoring higher on conduct problems at the start of P1 showed more conduct problems at the end of P1.
- Children scoring higher on empathy at the start of P1 made more progress on empathy at the end of P1
- Children who scored higher on confidence at the start of P1 made more progress on confidence at the end of P1.
- Children scoring higher on sociability at the start of P1 were more sociable at the end of P1.
- Children scoring higher on independence/concentration at the start of P1 made more progress on independence/concentration at the end of P1.

Child variables

- Age showed significant results for sociability and independence/concentration. Results showed that older children made more progress than younger children.
- Gender was only significant for empathy with girls again making more progress than boys.

 Previous health problems were significant for sociability. In this case, children with low health problems made less progress on sociability than children with no health problems.

Parent variables

- Fathers' qualifications were significant for sociability. Children whose fathers obtained 18 academic qualifications made more progress on sociability at the end of P1 compared with children whose fathers have no qualifications.
- Mothers' qualifications were significant for independence/concentration with children whose mothers have 16 academic, 18 vocational or degree or above qualifications making more progress on independence/concentration than children whose mothers have no qualifications.
- Mothers' employment was significant for independence/concentration. Children whose mothers work full-time made more progress on independence/concentration than children whose mothers are unemployed.
- Fathers' employment level had a significant effect with children whose fathers work part-time or are unemployed making less progress on empathy compared with children whose fathers work full time.

Home variables

• Children who had any level of peer play at home made better progress on empathy during P1 compared with children who had no peer play at home.

Childcare variables

• Children with more group care prior to joining the study increased more in conduct problems, were less empathetic, and less cooperative/conforming and had less independence/concentration.

Pre-school variables

- The number of sessions children were enrolled in at pre-school had a significant effect on sociability. Children who attended pre-school full-time made more progress on sociability over the first year of primary school compared with those attended part time.
- The longer the time period children attended pre-school, the more conduct problems decreased over the first year of primary school.
- Children who attended a pre-school where the pre-school leader had a Bachelor of Arts or Bachelor of Science qualification or a Bachelor of Education qualification, decreased in their conduct problems in comparison with children who attended preschool where the leader had no qualifications.

There appeared to be no difference on progress on the remaining sub-scales, between children who attended pre-schools where the leader has no qualifications and children who attended pre-schools where the leader has NIPPA, Montessori or a BTec/NNEB qualification.

Education and Library Boards

In comparison with the Southern ELB, children from;

• Western ELB made less progress on co-operation/conformity, sociability, independence/concentration, confidence, empathy and showed less improvement on conduct problems.

- South Eastern ELB made more progress on sociability at the end of P1.
- Belfast ELB and North Eastern ELB appeared to make similar progress on all subscales.

ECERS and **ECERS** sub-scales

• The ECERS-R sub-scale, ECERS-R/care, was significant for confidence and cooperation/conformity. Children who attended pre-school centres rated higher on ECCERS-R/care made less progress on confidence and more progress on cooperation/conformity.

Compositional variables

- The more co-operation/conformity the peer group displayed in the pre-school setting, the less progress children made on conduct problems during the P1 period, indicating a decrease in conduct problems across the P1 period.
- The more sociable the peer group was in the pre-school setting, the more progress children made on confidence at the end of P1.

Pre-School Effects

In comparison to children from reception classes, children from;

- Nursery Classes made less progress on empathy.
- Private Day Nurseries made less progress on empathy.
- Playgroups showed more improvement on conduct problems, i.e. decreased more. Children from Reception Groups appeared to make similar progress to children from Reception Classes on all six subscales.

References

- Arnett, J. (1989) Caregivers in Day-Care Centres: Does training matter? *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 10, 541-552.
- Ball, C. (1994) Startright: The Importance of Early Learning, London: RSA.
- Borge, A., & Melhuish, E., (1995) A Longitudinal Study of Childhood Behaviour Problems, Maternal Employment and Day-care in Rural Norwegian Community, *International Journal of Behavioural Development*, 18, 23-42.
- Davies, J. & Brember, I. (1992) The Effects of Gender, Attendance Period and Age on Children's Adjustment to Nursery Classes, *Research in Education*, 47, 89-103.
- Davies, J, & Brember, I. (1997) The Effects of Pre-School Experience on Reading Attainment: a four year cross-sectional study, *Educational Psychology*, 178, 3, 255-266.
- Feinstein, L., Robertson, D. & Symons, J. (1998) Pre-school Education and Attainment in the NCDS and BCSI Centre for Economic Performance, London
- Goldstein, H. (1987) Multilevel Models in Educational and Social Research, London: Charles Griffin and Co.
- Goldstein, H. (1995) Multilevel Statistical Models (2nd Edition), London: Edward Arnold.
- Harms, T., Clifford, R. & Cryer, D. (1998) Early Childhood Environment Rating Scale Revised, New York and London: Teachers' College Press.
- Hogan, A.E., Scott, K.G. and Bauer, C.R. (1992) The Adaptive Social Behavior Inventory (ASBI): A new assessment of social competence in high risk three year olds. *Journal of Psychoeducational Assessments*, 10, 3, 230–9.
- House of Commons Select Committee (1989) *The Education of Children 3-5*, London: HMSO.
- Melhuish, E.C. (1993) Pre-school care and education: Lessons from the 20th and the 21st century, *International Journal of Early Years Education*, 1, 19-32.
- Melhuish, E.C., Lloyd, E., Martin, S. & Mooney, A. (1990) Type of day-care at 18 months: II Relations with Cognitive and Language Development, *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 31, 861-870.
- Melhuish, E., Quinn, L., Sylva, K., Sammons, P., Siraj-Blatchford, I., Taggart, B., McSherry, K. & McCrory, M. (2001) Cognitive and Social/behavioural Development at 3-4 years in Relation to Family Background. N.I.: Stranmillis University Press.
- Melhuish, E., Quinn, L., Sylva, K., Sammons, P., Siraj-Blatchford, I., Taggart, B. & Currie, G. (2002) Pre-school Experience and Social/behavioural Development at the Start of Primary School. N.I.: Stranmillis University Press.

- Melhuish, E., Quinn, L., Sylva, K., Sammons, P., Siraj-Blatchford, I., Taggart, B. & Shields, C. (2002) *Pre-school Experience and Cognitive Development at the Start of Primary School.* N.I.: Stranmillis University Press.
- National Institute of Child Health & Development (1997) The effects of infant child care on infant-mother attachment security: Results of the NICHD study of early child care, *Child Development*, 68, 5, 860-879.
- Rumbold, A. (1990) The Report of the Committee of Inquiry into the Quality of the Educational Experience offered to 3- and 4-year olds, Department of Education & Science. London: HMSO.
- Schweinhart, L.J. & Weikart, D.P., (1997) Lasting Differences, The High/Scope preschool curriculum comparison through age 23. High/Scope Press, Ypsilanti, Michigan.
- Siraj-Blatchford, I. (1995) Expanding Combined Nursery Provision: Bridging the gap between care and education, in P Gammage and J Meighan *The Early Years: The Way Forward*, Nottingham: Education New Books.
- Sylva, K. (1994) A Curriculum for Early Learning. In Ball, C. (Ed.) Startright: The Importance of Early Learning, London: RSA.
- Sylva, K. & Wiltshire, J. (1993) The Impact of Early Learning on Children's Later Development. A review prepared for the RSA enquiry 'Start Right', European Early Childhood Education Research Journal, 1, 1, 17-40.
- Sylva, K., Siraj-Blatchford I. & Taggart B. (2003) Assessing Quality in the Early Years, Early Childhood Environment Rating Scale Extension (ECERS-E): Four Curricular Subscales. Trentham Books. Stoke on Trent UK

Appendices

Appendix 1

EPPNI Project

Child Social Behaviour Question	onnaire-Year 1	
Name	Date of Birth	
Name of Centre	Date of Administration	

Name of CentreDate of A	dministratio	DΠ			
	Rarely/ Never	Not Often	Sometimes	Usually	Almost Always
Understands others feelings. Like when they are happy, sad or mad	1	2	3	4	5
Thinks things out before acting					
<u> </u>					
Is helpful to other children					
Tries to be fair in games					
Is obedient and compliant					
When you give him/her an idea for playing, he/she frowns,					
shrugs shoulders, pouts or stamps foot					
Follows rules in games					
Gets upset when you don't pay enough attention					
Is sympathetic to other children's distress, tries to comfort					
others when they are upset					
Can behave appropriately during less structured sessions,					
with no more than one reminder					
Waits his/her turn in games or other activities					
Is open and direct about what he/she wants					
Co-operates with your requests					
Easily distracted, concentration wanders					
Can easily get other children to pay attention to him/her					
Says nice or friendly things to others, or is friendly towards					
others					
Can move to a new activity on completion of a task					
Will join a group of children playing					
Can independently select and return equipment as					
appropriate					
In social activities, tends to just watch others					
Follows school rules					
Says please and thank you when reminded					
Constantly fidgeting or squirming					
Asks or wants to go and play with other children					
Is calm and easy-going					
Can work easily in a small peer group					
Plays games and talks with other children					
, 0					
Shares toys or possessions					
Teases other children, call them names					
Is confident with other children					
Will invite others to join in a game					
Prevents other children from carrying out routines					
Preservers in the face of difficult or challenging tasks					
Tends to be proud of things he/she does					
Accepts changes without fighting against them or becoming					
upset					
Likes to work things out for self/can work independently					
Bullies other children					
Is interested in many and different things					
Apologises spontaneously after a misdemeanour					
Is worried about not getting enough					
Is bossy, needs to have his/her way					
Restless, overactive, cannot stay still for long					
Enjoys talking with you					
Offer to help other children who are having difficulty in the					
classroom					
Sees tasks through to the end, good attention span				<u> </u>	
occo mono unough to the end, good attention span			1	I	I

Appendix 2Pre-School Effects in Comparison with Home Children

	Co-op/Conformity		Sociability		Confid	Confidence		Empathy In		Empathy		Empathy		Empathy Ind		Empathy Ind		centration	Conduct p	roblems
Compared to home group	Attainment	Progress	Attainment	Progress	Attainment	Progress	Attainment	Progress	Attainment	Progress	Attainment	Progress								
Nursery class/school	-		+		+															
Playgroup	-		+	+	+		+	+												
Private Day Nursery	-		+		+						+									
Reception Class	-		+					+												
Reception Group								+			+									

The above table shows the impact of pre-school type compared with home children on social/behavioural attainment and progress.

In analysing attainment the child, socio-economic (area & parent), parent, family, home, childcare, and type of pre-school attended affecting the child's level of attainment at the end of primary one were considered. The child's earlier level of social/behavioural functioning is not taken into account.

In analysing progress, all possible predictor variables used in attainment were analysed, but, in addition, the child's level of social/behavioural functioning at the start of P1 is taken into account.

Key;

- '+' = Children from this particular type of pre-school appeared to attain significantly higher scores or make more progress across the P1 period than home children, on the social/behavioural subscale concerned. For example, children who attended playgroups appeared to attain significantly higher scores and make more progress across the P1 period on Sociability than home children.
- '-' = Children from this particular type of pre-school appeared to attain significantly lower scores or make less progress across the P1 period than home children, on the relevant subscale. For example, children who attended nursery classes/schools, playgroups, private day nurseries or reception classes appeared to attain significantly lower scores on co-operation/conformity than home children.

Where a cell remains blank, this means that there appeared to be no difference between children who attended pre-school and home children in their attainment or progress on the social/behavioural subscale concerned

Appendix 3

Pre-School Type Effects.

In comparison to	Co- operation/ Conformity	Sociability	Confidence	Empathy	Independence/ Concentration	Conduct problems
Reception Class	Progress	Progress	Progress	Progress	Progress	Progress
Private Day Nursery				-		
Nursery School/Class				-		
Playgroup						-
Reception Group						

The above table shows the impact of each type of pre-school provision on children's social/behavioural progress by comparing the scores of children who attended reception class provision with children who attended the other main types of pre-school provision on each of the subscales.

Key;

- = Children from this particular type of pre-school appeared to make significantly less progress across the P1 period than children who attended reception class, on the relevant subscale. For example, children who attended private day nurseries appeared to make significantly less progress than children who attended reception classes on empathy.

Where a cell remains blank, this means that there appeared to be no difference in the progress of children who attended reception class and other types of pre-school provision on the social/behavioural subscale concerned.