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Abstract

Functional plasticity is the ability to change behaviour based on experience. Structural changes in the brain occurring with increasing age are sometimes associated with a reduction in functional plasticity, leading to the idea of sensitive periods in development. In this article we assess the implications of recent findings on sensitive periods in brain development for educational policy. We address three points. Can the educational curriculum be optimised by teaching particular subjects at a point of maximum plasticity for the brain systems involved? Do some skills need to be acquired later so that acquisition is optimised only after children reach a certain age? How should educational practice be adjusted to optimise learning for individuals who have passed the age of maximum plasticity?
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One of the main characteristics of the human brain is its plasticity. Functional plasticity is the ability to change behaviour based on experience. When children are exposed to specially structured environments such as the instruction they experience in schools, they can learn high-level cognitive skills that are specific to their culture. Acquisition of these skills can take weeks, months or years. The result can be a literate child, a numerate child, a multilingual child, an artistic child, a child who can reason logically and play sports and musical instruments. One characteristic of the human brain is that it exhibits marked changes with age. These include the loss of neurons and the pruning of connections. In humans, up to half of all synapses (the structures through which neurons communicate) are lost from the neocortex during late childhood and adolescence. Such structural changes are sometimes associated with a reduction in functional plasticity, leading to the idea of sensitive periods in development. In this article we assess the implications of recent findings on sensitive periods in brain development.

Current Knowledge About Sensitive Periods

A sensitive period represents a window within which the effects of environmental stimulation on brain structure and function are maximised. The main source of empirical evidence that informs our understanding of sensitive periods is the rate and upper limit of behavioural change that individuals can achieve at different ages. Two other sources provide converging evidence: the effects of early deprivation on subsequent development, such as transient impairments in vision or hearing, and the ability of individuals to recover from brain damage experienced at different ages. After the closing of the sensitive period, some level of plasticity is nevertheless retained; windows of plasticity do not shut suddenly or firmly. Research has indicated that sensitive periods are present in many domains of human cognition, particularly in perceptual systems. However, there appear to be multiple sensitive periods with different time courses, both across perceptual domains and within a given domain. For example, within the auditory domain there are different sensitive periods related to speech processing and other periods with different timing related to basic aspects of music perception in humans; in the primate visual system there are different sensitive periods related to amblyopia, visual acuity, motion perception and face processing.

The relationship between these sensitive periods and particular properties of underlying brain mechanisms is still an active area of research, particularly when investigating those properties that differentiate the sensitive periods of the various neural subsystems. Diverse neurocomputational mechanisms may be responsible for reductions in plasticity, including loss of resources, competition effects and entrenchment. However, differences in sensitive periods are likely to be related to the anatomical development of specific cortical regions, and may coincide with the plateau of high synaptic density present from late infancy to late childhood in most cortical areas except for primary sensory areas, which show earlier reductions. Synapses are generated spontaneously when axons and dendrites meet, and only those that contribute to function are stabilised and retained. Early overproduction of synapses may therefore be viewed as a resource to change function. One influential view is that sensitive periods in human cognitive development are intrinsic to the process that produces the functional structure of the adult brain. The adult brain is a complex mosaic of systems showing...
considerable specificity in their functional properties. Under the Interactive Specialisation theory, this mosaic is the outcome of a process of increasing specialisation or fine-tuning of response properties across development. There is a gradual change from diffuse bilateral cortical representations to more focal, unilateral activity. Brain regions with initially poorly defined response functions become increasingly restricted to a narrower set of stimuli or task demands. The termination of sensitive periods coincides with the commitment of particular regions to particular functions. Crucially, the process of emergent specialisation is experience dependent, representing the competition between different brain regions to adopt separate functions. The implication is that changes in plasticity are in part driven by learning itself rather than occurring according to a predetermined schedule. Three additional findings are noteworthy. First, sensitive periods may help later learning. For example, human infants show specialisation to perceiving the speech sounds of their native language at around 12 months of age, with a corresponding reduction in the ability to distinguish between the speech sounds of incompatible foreign languages. Kuhl and colleagues found that infants who showed reduced ‘neural commitment’ to the sounds of their native language subsequently showed poorer language development over the next two years. Notably while making a neural commitment is associated with reduced plasticity, the reduction in plasticity is gradual and some level of plasticity is typically retained. However, behavioural change may require more attention and motivation thereafter. Second, although sensitive periods differ across brain systems, a broad pattern has been discerned: lower-level systems appear to reduce their plasticity before higher-level systems. Moreover, for some systems, no sensitive periods have been observed (e.g. the somatosensory cortex). Third, some researchers have speculated that the abilities and associated brain regions that show the most extended plasticity may also be those that are most vulnerable to damage in development. For example, the magnocellular pathway shows extended plasticity, and impairments of this pathway have been implicated in developmental dyslexia, with the dorsal stream showing a more general vulnerability.

While skills need to be taught early, this does not mean that the goal of education is to achieve the highest point of plasticity. Although the idea of sensitive periods has been influential in the history of education, little empirical evidence has accrued showing that there are sensitive periods in the acquisition of high-level skills such as literacy and numeracy as long as the component sensory and motor abilities have developed normally. Elimination of synapses occurs earliest in primary sensory areas and later in the regions of parietal and temporal cortex implicated in sensory integration, language processing, mathematical abilities and musical abilities. However, both literacy and numeracy represent cases where extended instruction serves to integrate existing sub-systems into a novel functional structure. In the case of reading, the visual system must be integrated with the existing spoken language system. In the case of numeracy, at least three systems must be integrated: an attentional system for tracking a small number of objects in parallel, a system for representing analogue magnitudes and a language-based system for representing number words, sequences and facts (such as times tables). In reading, dyslexia often stems from problems representing the speech sounds of language (phonology). To maximise protection against dyslexia in children at risk of the disorder, researchers have found that problems with phonology need to be alleviated before children learn to read. Once the child starts to learn associations between written letters and bad phonology, this learning is harder to undo. Similarly, in numeracy children build the number words of their first language into the emerging number system. Once this system is formed, if children learn a subsequent language the previous language cannot be readily ‘unplugged’ from its role in encoding number facts – adult bilinguals generally find they have to resort to their first acquired language for mental arithmetic.

**Which Skills Need to Be Taught Early?**

An educational curriculum would be optimised if the age at which each academic subject or skill coincided with the period of maximum plasticity for the brain systems involved. From the preceding section, two immediate limitations are evident. If changes in plasticity occur in part due to experience rather than according to a fixed schedule, the point of maximum plasticity may not correspond to a single age. Second, the high-level cognitive skills targeted in education usually involve the integration of many lower-level systems, but the sensitive periods of these systems may be different. For example, plasticity in acquiring new languages is likely to be the combinatorial result of the relative plasticity of underlying auditory, phonological, semantic, syntactic and motor systems combined with the developmental interactions between these components. Research suggests that the limiting factor when children and adults attempt to learn languages later in life is an attenuated ability to distinguish the component sounds (or in the case of sign language, hand shapes) of the language. Impairments in morphology and grammar are less marked. Such impairments are perhaps contingent on difficulties representing the input signal, which then affect the computations that must be carried out on this signal. There may be no reduction at all (at least at the behavioural level) in the ability to represent new meanings; that is, in the semantics of the language system.

A more general lesson regarding education and sensitive periods is that the abilities that must be put in place early are the relevant perceptual discriminations on which later academic skills rely. Animal studies indicate that exposure to the sensory stimuli may be sufficient to induce these discriminations, even if the initial behavioural skills exhibited are fairly restricted, because there may be other developmental factors limiting behavioural expression, such as motor development. It is not clear whether exposure requires instruction within a structured learning environment at a young age, or whether motivated exploration of the stimuli is sufficient. However, there is some risk that if early exposure is not followed up by continued use, skills will be lost.

**Which Skills Need to Be Taught Later?**

Do some skills need to be acquired later so that acquisition is optimised only after children reach a certain age? It has been shown that many educationally relevant behaviours can be advanced before they would normally be expected to emerge in school, from early home experiences promoting reading development prior to starting school to enhancing executive functioning in children as young as three years of age. Such training has resulted in the establishment of more focal patterns of neural activity that parallel longitudinal patterns of change in children not exposed to intense input.

However, if the goal of education is to achieve the highest point of
proficiency as efficiently as possible, it is not enough simply to
demonstrate that training can induce skills at a younger age. First, a
number of studies looking at early training have found that it is more
effective for slightly older children. For example, early phonological
awareness training in American kindergarten children was found to
be more advantageous for children nearer the first-grade cut-off point
than for their younger peers. When Rueda et al. taught attention
skills to children who were four and six years of age, they found that
while both groups benefited from training, only the six-year-old group
showed specific development of executive attention skills and
responding adult-like event-related potential brainwave
signatures. Second, advances produced by early training are sometimes
lost, simply because children who did not experience the
training catch up. For example, it has been shown that the early
academic benefits of a highly didactic pre-kindergarten environment
were lost as early as the kindergarten year. Third, enrichment
programmes have been found to be more effective in children from
lower socioeconomic backgrounds raised in impoverished
environments, with fewer benefits demonstrated for children already
exposed to a stimulating environment.

The key to optimal educational outcomes is not teaching as much as
possible as early as possible. However, two principles are emerging that
indicate how to put in place stable building blocks that can positively
effect later learning and understanding. The first principle is that
learning skills in the correct order is often more important than the
exact age at which these skills are learned. Within the constructivist
framework, more complex or abstract skills are built on top of simpler,
perceptually driven knowledge. Attempts to instruct children in abstract
skills (such as reasoning) may falter not because these children are too
young but because the more basic foundations have not been put in
place (such as relevant knowledge). Constructivist approaches to
cognitive development have recently been combined with theories of
brain development within the framework of neuroconstructivism. Within
this framework, a number of models looking at changes in
cortical plasticity invoke the idea of ‘cascades’ or ‘waves’ of plasticity,
where each level of processing depends on reliable and stable inputs
from the level below. The second principle is the importance of developing skills that aid
learning across all domains. Attention, for example, is a key
component of academic success, which can influence the learning of
skills with putative sensitive periods, such as first-language
acquisition in humans. It has been found that attention, along with
other cross-domain skills such as working memory, can be trained in
very young children. Enhancing skills such as selective and
sustained attention in young school children may have longer-lasting
and more noticeable effects of academic development than directly
training domain-specific skills.

Learning After Sensitive Periods Have Closed
An understanding of sensitive periods must also guide educational
practice for teaching individuals who have passed the age when
plasticity was at its maximum. To predict the best method of teaching,
we need to know which mechanism is responsible for the closing of
the sensitive period. As we have seen, there are several candidates.
In some cases, more intense training may be necessary. In other
cases, the context of training may need to be altered
(e.g. temporary cessation of competing tasks that may interfere with
learning). In other cases, the nature of the training experience may
need to be altered, for instance to highlight particular properties of
the task domain that are important for performance.

Second-language acquisition provides one example. Where
individuals miss the sensitive period for learning the phonetic
categories of a given language, the principles of repetition, feedback,
intermittent reactivation and incremental learning have been shown to result in the most dramatic and durable effects in adults. One
important strategy for perceptual category learning is to initially
exaggerate the distinctions between inputs such as non-native
phonemes and then incrementally reduce the distinctions to bring
them in line with realistic phonemes. Less is known about optimal
training principles beyond low-level perceptual systems. One recent
suggestion is that high-level systems such as executive functions
may respond best to incremental increases in complexity, where
task demands are kept just above the level at which the learner is
operating. The roles of motivation and attention and the use of strategy and
meta-cognition are the other keys to learning new skills after putative
sensitive periods have closed. While older learners can sometimes
develop new skills more quickly than their younger counterparts
because of the additional strategies available to them, adults may
require more cognitive resources to learn new skills. However, it is
important to stress that in most cases plasticity is reduced rather than
eliminated. Learning is still possible, but it requires more effort and
may produce imperfect results. Factors other than age are also
important. For example, evidence from animal studies indicates that
learning in a social context may be one factor that permits
later learning of abilities associated with sensitive periods: when
songbirds were too old to learn their song from a passive
tape-recording, they could still do so from an interactive partner.

Conclusion
Sensitive periods in brain development can inform educational policy
by indicating the appropriate age at which academic skills are taught.
The strongest evidence for sensitive periods in human development
is in sensory systems. Educationally, this points towards early
exposure for domains that rely on sensory modalities, such as
language acquisition and music. These skills are likely to be more
effectively acquired if learning commences in early schooling
(between five and 10 years of age). The majority of academic skills
involve higher cognition, which relies on brain systems with more
extended plasticity. To date, there is no strong evidence for high-level
sensitive periods that operate to restrict learning in higher-level
abilities (such as numeracy and literacy), over and above sensitive
periods that produce sensory limitations. It is likely that, beyond late
childhood, processes of pruning will gradually reduce spare cognitive
resources if they are not utilized by a cognitively challenging
environment, but behavioural change may still be available depending on levels of attention and motivation. However, individual cognitive abilities form only part of the story. Learning
within an educational setting relies on children possessing a wider
skill set, including the ability to follow directions, the ability to
communicate wants, needs and thoughts verbally, the ability to be
enthusiastic and curious in learning and the ability to be sensitive to
the feelings of other children. Moreover, in parallel with cognitive
abilities, there are processes of physical, emotional, social and
sexual development, with increasing importance of the peer group
on individual learning. Indeed, a recent line of research within
cognitive neuroscience has begun to explore how puberty may affect cognitive abilities in adolescence.\textsuperscript{44,45} Therefore, multiple factors must be taken into account in considering the best age to engage children in specific topics within the educational curriculum.
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