

OLAAF: Geochemistry

Adapting text-based questions to computer-based assessments: a geochemistry case study

Caroline Pellet-Many and Glenn Baggott
OLAAF Project
Birkbeck, University of London
<http://www.bbk.ac.uk/olaaf>

OLAAF:Geochemistry 1

OLAAF: Geochemistry

Problem:

- Introductory geochemistry unit to be used for distance learning.
- Assessment was 5 paper-based marked 'homeworks' of 6 or 7 items: used summatively with some written feedback.

How to adapt to a distance learning module?

Solution to learning resources was CD. This was authored in Acrobat by Karen Hudson-Edwards, Birkbeck Earth Sciences, who also provided the assessment materials.

But how to adapt paper-based assessment?

OLAAF:Geochemistry 2

OLAAF: Geochemistry

Examples of paper-based questions

Homework 1 Q5 (10 marks) The diameter of an atomic nucleus is about 10^{-14} m, whereas the diameter of an atom is 10^{-10} m. If an atom is represented by a cricket field of diameter 100 m, what is the diameter of the ball which represents the nucleus of the atom?

Better as Flash demonstration?

Homework 1 Q8 (10 marks) Explain in your own words why there are 10 transition metals in the fourth Period, and 14 actinide elements in the seventh Period.

Very much an essay answer

Homework 3 Q2 (10 marks) In an experiment one gram of salt [NaCl] is burned in a closed pressure vessel (bomb). Is this a closed or open system? What are the phases involved in the reaction? Note – ignore the product of the reaction when answering this question.

Too few alternatives or meaningful distractors

**These we decided could not be made into useable CBA items.
So first conclusion – accept some questions can't be adapted.**

OLAAF:Geochemistry 3

OLAAF: Geochemistry

Computer-based assessments

All using TRIADS with

- Left hand information area – context of question presented first
- Continue
- Question presented with interaction area – text entry, hotspot, move label, radio button
- Additional information can be called by button operated windows
- Calculator available on screen where required
- Feedback window on left presenting guidance - not answers

OLAAF:Geochemistry 4

OLAAF: Geochemistry

Homework 1 Q4 (10 marks) Some common isotopes within the Earth and Sun can be considered to be multiples of the ${}^4\text{He}$ nucleus. Which isotopes of which elements are 3 times, 5 times and 8 times the He nucleus configuration?

- used move label with random presentation
- the original question was about simple multiples of atomic number in isotopes
- modified question - used atomic mass as distractor to assess comprehension of the difference between them in their usual symbolic form.

OLAAF:Geochemistry 5


OLAAF: Geochemistry

Ungraded Question

Geology-Homework 1

Question 4
CHEMICAL SYMBOLS

A chemical element is identified by its atomic number Z, which defines both the number of protons in the nucleus (and thus the nuclear charge), and the number of electrons in the neutral atom. The mass number Z+N specifies one isotope of the element.



For example, ${}^4_2\text{He}$ has got 2 protons, 2 electrons and 2 neutrons.

Some common isotopes within the earth and the sun can be considered to be multiples of the ${}^4\text{He}$ nucleus. Which isotopes of which elements are 3.5 and 8 times the He nucleus configuration?

Drag the correct label to its position in the table. Move the label to waste bin if it is not appropriate or you do not know the correct position.

NOTE: You have to select Yes or No after EACH label placement (you can also use letters Y for Yes and N for No on your keyboard to confirm your selections). Note that once you have placed a label into the wastebasket, it will NOT be re-presented.

3 times the He nucleus configuration	→	12 C
5 times the He nucleus configuration	→	32 S
8 times the He nucleus configuration	→	3 Li
WASTE BIN		

Are you sure?

OLAAF:Geochemistry 6

OLAAF: Geochemistry

Homework 2 Question 1 . In each of the following pairs, choose the one in which the chemical bond would have more covalent character:
 KCl and KI; KCl and BaCl₂; Li₂S and Cs₂S; Cu₂O and Cu₂S; BaCl₂ and HgCl₂;
 B₂O₃ and Al₂O₃

- Supplied a electronegativity table within the question
- Changed from simple choices of original question to an application question where computation necessary; calculator provided
- Plus a diagnosis based on computations
- Consequently needed text entry rather than the radio button alternatives which original invited.
- Feedback provided on how to do computations and guidance on diagnosis. Answers not given.

OLAAF:Geochemistry 7

OLAAF: Geochemistry

Geology-Homework 2

FEEDBACK

You entered only two correct answers. Did you understand how to calculate the electronegativity difference of a compound?

For example, Na⁺ has an electronegativity of 0.9 and Cl⁻ an electronegativity of 3.0, therefore, the electronegativity difference is 2.1 (3.0-0.9) and the two atoms form an ionic bond.

It can be generalized that a bond formed between two atoms in the table is almost purely covalent if the electronegativities are similar and largely ionic if the electronegativities are very different.

Enter the electronegativity difference for each of the compounds, and then complete the statement below.

KCl and KI

Li₂S and Cs₂S

Cu₂O and Cu₂S

BaCl₂ and AgCl₂

The lower the electronegativity difference, (more / less) the covalent the bond.

OLAAF:Geochemistry 8

OLAAF: Geochemistry

Homework 2 Question 2

(a) Given a metallic element whose atoms are very large and a non-metallic element whose atoms are very small. Would you expect bonding between these two elements to be mainly ionic or mainly covalent? Explain your answer.

(b) Consider the opposite case of a small atom for the metallic element and a large atom for the non-metallic element. Which type of bonding would you expect? Explain your answer.

- Hotspot question chosen to provide textual alternatives in statements
- Question built on previous ionic radius question in Homework 1 (need for sequential item presentation)
- Question adapted to make assessable the process used by student in constructing an answer
- Customised periodic table provided distinguishing metallic/non-metallic elements (not on CD)
- Customised electronegativity table – modified to meet examples given on CD.

OLAAF:Geochemistry 9

OLAAF: Geochemistry

Geology-Homework 2

Question 2

METALLIC BONDING

The periodic table is mostly populated by metals but a group called non-metals and

Activity Table

where on the screen?

Read the statement below. Then click on one correct alternative from each group.

Given a metallic element whose atoms are very large and a non metallic element whose atoms are very small.

The metallic element will tend to have a low / high electronegativity.

The non metallic element will tend to have a low / high electronegativity.

Thus ionic / covalent bonding would be expected.

Consider the opposite case of a small atom for the metallic element and a large atom for the non metallic element.

The metallic element will tend to have a low / high electronegativity.

The non metallic element will tend to have a low / high electronegativity.

Thus ionic / covalent bonding would be expected.

OLAAF:Geochemistry 10

OLAAF: Geochemistry

Homework 4 Q4 (40 marks) Use the following data for a suite of igneous rocks to determine the age of formation, using a graphic method. What is the initial ratio of the suite? What can you deduce from the initial ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratio?

Assume that the decay constant of ⁸⁷Rb is 1.42 x 10⁻¹¹/a

	⁸⁷ Rb/ ⁸⁶ Sr	⁸⁷ Sr/ ⁸⁶ Sr
Rock 1	0.200	0.7109
Rock 2	1.926	0.8065
Rock 3	1.053	0.7545
Rock 4	0.223	0.7129
Rock 5	0.802	0.7462

- Text entry chosen so process of computations could be assessed
- Graph of data provided but student has to identify y and x for use in an equation (provided in feedback); must identify value of intercept
- Explicit testing of deduction
- Feedback gives partial answer; intercept provided but remaining feedback is guidance on process not the answer

OLAAF:Geochemistry 11

OLAAF: Geochemistry

Geology-Homework 4

FEEDBACK

You found one correct answer

The ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr 'initial ratio' is used in studies of the petrogenesis of rocks. The initial ratio represents the age of the source region from which the crystallised rocks were derived. It is equal to 0.7.

The isochron diagram is simply that of a straight line:
 $y = x m + c$

$\frac{87}{86}Sr_{now} = \frac{87}{86}Rb_{now} \cdot \lambda t + \frac{87}{86}Sr_{initial}$

Replace by the numbers given on the graph and the value of λ , to find t , the age of formation of the suite of igneous rocks.

Use the graph below to determine the initial ratio of the suite of igneous rocks, and then the age of formation of the suite.

⁸⁷Sr / ⁸⁶Sr isochron diagram of a suite of igneous rocks

Initial ratio: $\frac{87}{86}Sr = 0.7$

The age of formation of the suite of igneous rocks is: 380000000 years.

OLAAF:Geochemistry 12

OLAAF: Geochemistry

Learning outcomes matrix

Learning outcomes 2. Bonding	CD section	Homewor k 1	Homewor k 2	Homewor k 3	Homewor k 4	Homewor k 5	Total
a) Electronegativity (electronegativity difference)	Lecture 3) Chap 2.		1 A				1*A
b) Ionic bonding	Lecture 3) Chap 6.		2 C 3 R 5 A				1*C 1*R 1*A
c) Covalent bonding	Lecture 4) Chap 2.		1 A 2 C				1*A 1*C
d) Metallic bonding	Lecture 4) Chap 6.		2 C 3 R				1*C 1*R
e) Substitution: Goldschmidt's rules radius ratio coordination number	Lecture 3) Chap 8.		4 A 5 A 6 A				3*A

spread of item types, RECAP, acceptable

OLAAF:Geochemistry 13

OLAAF: Geochemistry

Assessment structures

Lecture 4
Some aspects in Lecture 4 are not tested: covalent bonding (although it is mentioned, it is not the object of a particular question) electrostatic bonding and hybrid orbitals.

Lecture 5:
No testing of **Lecture 5: Mineral chemistry** (Bonding in natural minerals, the major rock forming mineral classes, silicate polymers, crystal defects).

Lecture 7:
Not tested on Le Chatelier's principle and slopes of reaction boundaries.

Lecture 8:
Not tested - chemical kinetics: the rate equation, the Arrhenius equation, diffusion and Fick's first law, catalysts and activation energy.

Some notable gaps!!

OLAAF:Geochemistry 14

OLAAF: Geochemistry

Future improvements

- Plug gaps in assessment structure
- More explicit linkage to learning outcomes
- More explicit links to CD resources; direct links to pages?
- Resolve summative/formative intentions – tutorial and self test versions?

Thanks to Karen Hudson-Edwards for the materials and opportunity to carry out the work.

OLAAF:Geochemistry 15