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Note:

This section comprises the texts in chronological order of all letters to or from Boyle that have come to light since The Correspondence of Robert Boyle was published in 2001, together with information about lost letters that was not included in the edition.

The most significant source of extant letters is the Dopping Collection at Armagh, which was unfortunately overlooked during the preliminary search for materials for this edition. These letters form part of a three-volume collection of Anthony Dopping’s papers which in the eighteenth century came into the possession of the collector, Michael Ignatius Duggan. From him they passed to Dr John Lodge, remaining in the Lodge family until they were purchased for the Public Library in Armagh in 1865. They are published here by kind permission of the Governors and Guardians of Armagh Public Library.

A further ‘new’ letter, from Boyle to Samuel Clarke, which is now in the collection of the Royal Irish Academy, has clearly come from an autograph collection, probably of nineteenth-century date: it seems likely that further scattered items that have survived as part of autograph collections will come to light in due course.

Three further letters have been added to the version updated in 2017, one a Boyle-Hartlib letter that survives only through a copy in a notebook of James Tyrrell now in the Wellcome Library, the others letters from Boyle to Thomas Hyde that survive in an 18th-century edition of Hyde’s writings and which were kindly drawn to our attention by Will Poole.

Further letters are published in two articles elsewhere on the website: see Maria Boxall and Michael Hunter, ‘A Newly Discovered Boyle Letter of 1646’, [link] in which the text is presented of a letter from Boyle to Thomas Weston of 14 April 1646 which survives among the Hellyar Papers on deposit in the Somerset Heritage Centre; and Noel Malcolm, ‘The Boyle Correspondence: Some Unnoticed Items’, On the Boyle, no. 7 (2005), [link] which draws attention to various items, perhaps most notably a letter dated 22 May 1669 from Boyle to a figure who is almost certainly John Fell, Bishop of Oxford. This survives in the collection of the Historical Society of Pennsylvania at Philadelphia and, as will be seen from Malcolm’s note on it, it appears to be a further survivor from Samuel Clarke’s now scattered epistolary archive referred to above.

References by volume and page number are to the Correspondence.
Vol. 1:

Lost Letters:

The following lost letters are recorded in the 2nd Earl of Cork’s diary (Chatsworth, Lismore MS 33), over and above those recorded in vol. 1:

17 June 1651, 9 April 1654 and 6 July 1654.

In the case of the letter dated 9 April 1654, the following details are given: ‘I this day sent letters for my brother Robbin by Coll: Roches footeman and in them an abstract of my fathers diary touching Robbins land in Leinster’. Boyle was at this point in Dublin. ‘Coll. Roche’ is presumably Colonel David Roche of Glenanote who served in the Irish Confederate army; there was a price on his head in May 1652 so it seems unlikely that he was himself at liberty in Ireland in 1654, but this does not preclude Cork’s having contact with one of his servants: see Robert Dunlope, Ireland under the Commonwealth (2 vols., Manchester, 1913), i, 46, 207. Various entries in the Great Earl of Cork’s diary deal with lands inherited by Boyle in Kildare, Wicklow and Meath (i.e., in Leinster): see A.B. Grosart (ed.), The Lismore Papers (First Series) (5 vols., London, 1886), iii, 93, 129; iv, 74-5, 107, 172.

A series of ‘Extracts from Letters concerning the busines of Learning’, Hartlib Papers 47/3/1A, contains an abstract from a letter from Benjamin Worsley to Hartlib dated 10 February 1658 in which he writes: ‘Our affaire here is yet doubtfull, nor can I give you any certaine account of it: Only I perceive Mr. Boyle hath written a very effectuall gentleman-like civill letter to Dr. Petty, which hath expressed so much of his heartines & friendship to your & all our generall aimes, that I think we cannot acknowledge it enough.’ No letters from Boyle to Petty are now extant, so this one offering support for the scheme by which moneys from Ireland would be used to support Hartlib’s schemes for the advancement of learning is all the more notable. Petty’s letter to Boyle of 17 February 1658 (Correspondence, vol. 1, pp. 254-5) is evidently a response to this.

A letter from Peter du Moulin to the Countess of Cork dated 10 November 1658 (Chatsworth, Lismore MS 30, no. 42) refers to letters that Boyle had ‘lately’ received from the 2nd Earl of Cork. None is recorded in the 2nd Earl’s diary between 12 June and 23 November that year (see vol. 1, p. 246), so du Moulin evidently refers to additional lost letters. For du Moulin, see vol. 1, p. 269, n. a.

BOYLE to HARTLIB, 2 November 1659

From the copy preserved in James Tyrrell’s notebook, Wellcome MS 4887, fol. 5. This forms part of a group of notes made from the Hartlib Papers when they were in the possession of Lord Brereton at Brereton Hall in 1685 by James Tyrrell (1642-1718), J.P., political writer and friend of John Locke, who lived at Oakley,
Buckinghamshire. This letter no longer appears to survive among the Hartlib Papers, although it relates to various documents that do, as the notes below explain.

An Extract out of Mr Boyle’s Letter. Oxon. No. 2 1659

Sir,

This paper being to serve but for a Cover to the inclosed Receipt I shall need to say nothing to you in it save that I heartily wish you would set your son & Mr Stahl upon preparing this spirit out of hand, and though I here send you the Receipts faithfully translated out of the French Originall, yet I have other particulars relating to this medicine to acquainte you with, which if I see it really taken in hand and not otherwise shall (God willing) be seasonably communicated to you by

Sir your very affectionate friend & servant

RB

Notes: Boyle refers to Hartlib’s son in law, Frederick Clodius (1629-1702), and to the chemist Peter Stahl (d. 1675) who moved to Oxford under Boyle’s auspices. The letter follows two related items which are English versions of texts that also survive in Latin in HP 14/5/8A-9B. First we have a recipe for ‘Spiritus calcis vivae’ (fols. 4-5), and then a covering note to this from Sir Kenelm Digby to Hartlib, dated Frankfurt, 1/11 December 1658 (fol. 5). Another, slightly different version of the English translation of the text of the recipe, which is entitled ‘Spiritus calcis vivae <to dissolve the stones in the Kidneys or bladder> Translated out of French by Mr Boyle’, appears elsewhere in Tyrrell’s notebook, in the section written the other way up from the opposite end of the volume on fols. 84v-6: this is written in one hand and emended in a different one. The transmission of the French version of this recipe from Digby to Boyle in May 1658 is discussed in Correspondence, vol. 1, p. 273; see also ibid., pp. 283-4.

Vol. 2:

Lost Letters:

In a notebook entry dated 1664, Bodleian Library, Oxford, MS Locke f. 27, p. 2. John Locke wrote: ‘Dr Borrichius writt 2 letters to Mr Boyle, but hath not heard from him, says Mr Collins’. The reference is presumably to Samuel Collins (see vol. 2, p. 92, n. a), and one of the letters concerned is evidently that from Borrichius to Boyle of 30 March 1664 printed in vol. 2, pp. 254-66. The other, however, is evidently lost. (We are grateful to Peter Anstey for this reference.)

Birch’s list of Boyle letters in British Library Add. MS 4229, fols. 71-3, contains on fol. 71v a reference to a letter from Samuel Boguslaus Chylinski dated 19 November 1664 and described as ‘concerning the Translat. of the Bible in the Lithuanian Language & papers relating to him’. This appears in Correspondence, vol. 2, p. 409, as a lost letter: see also no. 329 in Wotton’s list in ibid., vol. 6, p. 409. However, it should be pointed out that the date of this item is the same as that of a letter from
Chylinski to John Wallis printed in *The Correspondence of John Wallis*, eds C.J.Scriba and Philip Beeley (Oxford, 2003-, in progress), vol. 2, pp. 166-7, which Chylinski specifically requested Wallis to reseal and to forward to Boyle. In fact, the original letter survives as Bodleian Library, Oxford, MS Add. D. 105, fols. 16-17, but Wallis may well have forwarded a copy to Boyle and that may have been what was included in Birch’s and Wotton’s lists. The associated ‘papers’ were probably the items now in BP 4, fols. 114-16 (one of them included in Wotton’s list in *Correspondence*, vol. 6, p. 408), which were probably separated from the letter when the archive received its current organisation in the nineteenth century. Both the Chylinski-Wallis letter of 19 November 1664 and the documents in BP 4 are reproduced in facsimile in Gina Kavaliūnaitė (ed.), *Samuelis Boguslavo Chylinsko Biblija* (3 vols., Vilnius, 2008-15), vol. 3, pp. 210-13, 220-5 (with commentary on pp. 391ff.).

In Henry Miles’s ‘List of Titles as I sort em Feb. 10. 1742/3’ (Boyle Papers 36, fols. 141-3, 163-4), no. 84 comprises ‘Letter of Dr Beale of Art of flying June 3 1665 3 sheets’. This was perhaps a treatise in epistolary form. The same may also have been true of the next item in the list, no. 85, ‘Defect. of Thermom. from the same 1665’. See Michael Hunter and Lawrence M. Principe, ’The Lost Papers of Robert Boyle’, *Annals of Science*, 60 (2003), 269-311, on p. 302.

Vol. 3:

BOYLE to SAMUEL CLARKE,¹ 20 January 1666

From the original in hand E, signed by Boyle, at the Royal Irish Academy, Dublin, MS 3/D/8/3. Fol/1, written initially across the page and then down it.

Stanton.² Jan: 20th 1665/6

Sir

Having newly receivd from an Ingenious Person at London a Letter of the cheife part whereof the Inclosed <Paper> is a Transcript,³ my absence from Oxford hindering mee from waiting on you and Mr Seaman about it, I am oblegd to take this way of communicating it to you, desiring that when you have showd the paper to Mr Seaman you will enable mee to give the Ingenious Writer of the Letter as clear & full an Answer to the particulars as you think you may safely doe. Seignior Warnero¹ being dead, there seemes noe danger to our intended worke, especially since it appears not that he had soe much as begun the Version of the New Testament, &¹⁰ for the

¹ Samuel Clarke (1625-69), orientalist and the University of Oxford's 'Architypographicus' from 1658 onwards.

² Boyle was at this time living at Stanton St John: see vol. 2, p. 581.

³ The enclosed paper is not extant and the 'ingenious person' from whose letter it was extracted has not been identified, though this could be a lost letter from Henry Oldenburg, with whom Boyle had discussed the death of Warner in a letter of 18 Nov. 1665: vol. 2, p. 584.
Translation of the old; as these Freinds of his at Amsterdam, (who are both of them men of note & Worth) seeme to desire, an information about the Inclosd Particulars, but <that> they may the better conforme their Edition to Mr Seaman's; soe, that the promoting of soe good a worke whereby the whole Bible will be extant in the Turkish Tongue; may receive from your Informations the furtherance that is wishd for in Holland, is <both> the hope & the desire of Sir

Your Affect: Freind & humble servant.

Ro: Boyle.

Pray present my humble service to Mr Seaman

These
To my highly Esteemd Freind Mr Samuel Clark
Present
At his house in Holywell
In Oxford

The following words are underlined, evidently by the recipient of the letter: 'Seaman', 'Seignior Warnero', 'Version of the New Testament', 'Translation', 'old', 'Amsterdam', 'Mr Seaman's', 'Bible' and 'Turkish Tongue'.

Endorsed on verso, in ink, 'No. 3177', and in pencil, 'The famous Philosopher', possibly in William Upcott's hand. Adjacent to the address, at right-angles to it, in an early nineteenth-century [?] hand: 'Joshua Radford'.

Vol. 4:

Lost Letters:

In a letter to Henry Oldenburg of 2/12 June 1669, the diplomat and traveller Francis Vernon (?1637-77) wrote: ‘Pray my humble Respects to Mr Boyle to whom I sent a Letter & I doe not know whether hee hath received it’ (Oldenburg, vi, 6). Since Vernon had previously written to Oldenburg on 1/11 May (ibid., 507-8), the letter to Boyle presumably post-dated that.

4 The German scholar Levinus Warner (1619-65) had translated the Bible into Turkish, but due to his death it was not published till the nineteenth century. For the anxiety that it might be a threat to the plan of the English orientalist, William Seaman (1607-80), to produce such a translation, see above, vol. 2, pp. 309, 327, 341, 430, 581, 584. The Amsterdam contacts referred to were probably Peter Serrarius (1600-69: see vol. 2, p. 328n.) and Laurens de Geer (1614-66: see vol. 1, p. 381n.)
Vol. 5:

Lost Letters:

In a letter of 20 September and 13 October from John Locke to the French scholar, Nicolas Toinard (1628-1706), Locke mentions in connection with an observation of Jupiter that Toinard had sent him, ‘j’ecrivois a Mr Boyle pour l’en informer et par ce moyen alarmer tous nos Canoniers celestes de la Société Royal qui croient y avoir interest’. See E.S.de Beer (ed.), The Correspondence of John Locke, 8 vols (Oxford, 1976-89), ii, 115. No letter from Locke to Boyle survives between 16 June 1679 and 30 Sept./10 Oct. 1687 (see vol. 5, pp. 158-60, and vol. 6, p. 236).

BOYLE to THOMAS HYDE, 4 December 1679


London, December the 4th 1679.

I hope that either the affairs of the University or your own will bring you to town this term, and thereby give me the satisfaction of conversing with you about the things mentioned in your letters, for the last of which I owe you many thanks; and, I assure you, I have been careful to obey the commands it brought me, not to give, or shew about, any copy of your friend’s paper, which is so honest and pious an one, that it were great pity he should be prejudiced by it.5 I stay to be informed in your next, whether I may expect that your business will bring you hither this, month, before I give you the trouble of a long letter. And yet I must not conclude this before I have desired your assistance for a very ingenious Physician, whose case is this:6 He has with much felicity and credit, made frequent trials of the efficacy of the Jesuits Powder, given after his way, both in Quartan Agues, and in some other Chronical Diseases. This success has invited him to frame an hypothesis to render an account of it, and to communicate to the curious both his thoughts and his practical observations; together with a summary, chiefly historical, of what has been hitherto written of, or performed with, this Bark by those Physicians who have treated of it. In order to this, he has perused all the books he could possibly get on that subject; some of which I

5 The letter from Hyde is not extant. The paper in question might be Bernard’s prospectus for his Josephus., for which see Correspondence, vol. 5, p. 169.

named to him, but could not shew him; and, coming to advise with me about his work, which is already in a good forwardness, I told him, that a rich merchant of my acquaintance who lived long in Spain, and used a world of this China Chinæ (as many call it) though it generally pass for an American Plant, yet it is indeed an East Indian Plant, growing in a country lying on the South Sea: whereupon I added, that, if this be so, the reason why it is called Cortex Peruvianis may well be, that it is brought first to Peru, in the ships that yearly sail cross the South Sea from Peru, which lies upon that Sea, to the Manillas and neighbouring countries; and from Peru it is, by the Jesuits there, sent to Spain, Rome, and other parts of Europe. But I told him, that if it were really an American Plant, it is /p. 468/ probable it may be found described in the Mexican Herbal, and the Annotations of some Lyneceans thereupon. Now this Herbal (written in Latin, and printed in folio) being not here procurable, either by him or me, and I remembering to have seen it in your Publick Library, and read some parts of it; both he and I request the favor of you, that you will be pleased to see if there be any thing there about this Plant; and give me notice of it, and a copy taken verbatim of the description, if there be any; with an intimation whether there be a Cut belonging to the description. It would increase the obligation, if you please to inquire, in my name, of the most curious Doctor Morrison, whether he have ever seen the Plant itself, or have by him any delineation or good description, or have made any observations of the qualities of the Bark. The kindness and esteem I have for the Physician that desires these informations, and his professed willingness to do you right in the mention of them, surmounts the reluctancy I had to put you upon this trouble; for which yet, your readiness to oblige the curious makes me hope you will easily pardon.

Sir, Your most affectionate and humble Servant

RO. BOYLE

You will oblige me to present my humble service to any of my learned friends at Oxford, that you shall meet with; and to do me the favor to let me know whether you have in your Library a Book of Andreas Solea, de Incremento & Decremento Metallorum, or any thing else of that Author.  

7 The figure in question has not been identified.


9 Robert Morison (1620-183) was the first professor of botany at Oxford. At this point, a Latin note by Gregory Sharpe is keyed to the text, reporting on his consultation on the topic with the horticulturalist, Philip Miller (1691-1771) as follows: ‘Charactere arbores Peruvianæ Cinchone vel Quinquinae dictæ, prout à Comite Condamino descripí fuerunt, qui non parvam Corticis quantiutatem una cum Speciminibus & Seminibus ejusdem Plantæ ad Sodalitatem Regiam Londinensem misit. Hos ccharacteres mecum communicavit egregious Botanices cultor Philippus Millar. Perianthum monophyllum, superum, quinquesidum, minimum, persistens; corolla monopetala, infundibuliformis. Tubus Cylindraceus, lonngus. Limbus patulus, quiuesidious acutus. Filamenta quinque, minima. Antheræ oblonge, intra faucem corollæ. Germen subtrtundum, inserum. Stylus longitudinato corollæ. Stigma crassiusculum, oblongum, simplex. Capsula subtrtunda, calyce coronato, bilolaris, â basi versus apicem bifariam dehiscens. Semina plurima, oblonga, compressa marginata.’

10 This work has not been identified.
Sir,

I am glad the last trifle I sent you was so happy as to contribute to your discovering the erroneousness of a long and generally received opinion; but I am very sorry that your assiduity in the Library makes you need the Apothecary, and that, though I fear you are not mistaken of your Disease, you are so much so in the choice of a Physician as to address yourself to me; who have far more willingness to serve you on any occasion, than skill to do it on this: especially considering, that, as the causes and kinds of the Scurvy being different, the Cure requires remedies that are so too. If I durst make any conjecture upon so little knowledge of circumstances, I should suspect your Distemper to proceed, in great part, from sharpness of humours; for which some such medicines as Spirit of Hartshorn, or of Sal Armoniac, may be more proper then meer Diaphoreticks. Of the former of those Spirits I usually give from ten to fifteen drops; and of the latter, from eight to twelve, in any vehicle that is not acid. But as to the Antimonial Preparations you mention, I much prefer Bezoardicum Minerale, if well made, before the other two; especially if obstructions be in the case. The dose is about twenty grains, The Ceruss of Antimony works more uncertainly, but often more effectually, than the Diaphoretick Antimony. Of the former fifteen or twenty grams, and of the latter half a dozen more, may be a convenient dose: but if you can get any Tincture of Salt of Tartar, made with high rectified Spirit of Wine, and reduced to a pretty thickness, eight or ten drops of that, at a time, may perhaps prove more effectual than any of the abovementioned Antimomiates. But I forgot that I do not make profession of Physick, though I do of being,

Sir, Your very affectionate Friend and humble Servant

RO. BOYLE.

You will oblige me with those grammatical reflections you promised me in your letter, and to present my humble service to all my learned friends at Oxford.

Note: We have placed this letter here because of its relationship with the one that precedes it in Sharpe’s edition. However, the topics dealt with in it do not tally with those referred to in any of Hyde’s extant letters to Boyle so it must be presumed that this forms part of an epistolary exchange between the two men that is otherwise lost. It is of interest not least for the insight it provides into Boyle’s role as a lay physician. We are grateful to Will Poole for drawing our attention to both items.
BOYLE to ROBERT HOOKE, December 1680


Sir,

Coming to hear that that my old acquaintance Dr Wood is like to stand for a mathematical employment in the City, though he has the happiness to be so well known to you who are a far more competent judge than I in affairs of this nature, that you receive no character of his abilities, yet because some are pleased to think that several in the City have more favourable opinions of him than I dare pretend to merit, I think myself obliged to write these hasty lines to recommend Dr Wood to your particular kindness. And if you meet with any that are like to think better of him for my esteeming him to have a mathematical head, and to be a person qualified to promote studiousness and sobriety, I shall be very glad if your mentioning the opinion of mine, may conduce to being so worthy a man to settle in London, where his learned conversation may be more eminently conducive for promoting useful learning in general and more particularly Mathematical Knowledge.

Your most affectionate friend and humble servant,

Robert Boyle

BOYLE to [HENRY JONES], 22 August 1681

Armagh Public Library, Dopping Collection, No. 13iv
Hand: Warr, signed by Boyle. 4o/2.

London Aug: the 22th 1681

My Lord,

In my last Letter (to which I have not been yet so happy as to receive an Answer) I acquainted your Lordship, that there wanted but the last sheet of our Irish Testament to be printed off: and now I must add, that, thanks be to God, tis at last finishd & brought me home bound. So that

11 Dr Robert Wood (c. 1622-85), mathematician and Chancellor of the diocese of Meath, was at this point being considered as Master of the Mathematical School at Christ’s Hospital, with which Hooke as closely associated at this time: see Jones, *The Sea and the Sky*, ch. 6. For Wood, see above, vol. 1, pp. 221, 231, 267, 271, 279, 326 and 337. He never seems to have had much direct contact with Boyle, but for what is probably a lost letter from him of 1681, see vol. 5, p. 236

12 This must be the letter of ‘two moneths past’ to which Jones replied on 3 September (vol. 5, p. 263)
now I shall want only Directions how I may best transmit it, & a conveniency to execute those Directions. But because I find by a Letter written me by the Learned Library Keeper at Oxford, Mr Hyde, that the worthy Provost of the Colledge at Dublin complained to him, that he has not been able to procure in & about that City but four Irish Testaments in all, which are by far too few for the number of Students God has been pleas'd to excite to the study of that Language; I have causd thirty or forty to be bound with designe to send them away by the first conveniency for the use of the Collegiates & those other Persons that your Lordship shall judge likeliest to make a good /fol. 1v/ and speedy use of them.\textsuperscript{13} After these I intend, God willing, to send over as many as will make them up four hundred; which I chuse to send in quires, both for conveniency of Transportation, and that your Lordship and those other charitable persons that concerne themselves in the disposal of them, may have the liberty to cause as many Copies as you shall thinke fit, to be bound up with the Common Prayer in case the Designe your Lordship acquainted me with of publishing it in the Irish Tongue come to be actually accomplish’d.\textsuperscript{14} But I send not the Bookes over in quires to save the charge of haveing them well bound in good Sheeps Leather, as, if I mistake not, the Welch Bibles were;\textsuperscript{15} for having pitchd upon a price with the Bookebinder here, who is thought to deal very reasonably with me, because the number of Bookes makes a small gaine in each of them considerable; I intend /fol. 2/ to accompany the Bookes I send over in quires with a Bill for as much money, as would serve to bind them here; & I hope you have Leather as cheap, if not cheaper, at Dublin than at London. I have at length for ’twere not before yesterday, got Mr Reely to bring home his Irish Version of as much of the Jansenists Preface to their French Edition of the New Testament, as has been thought necessary or convenient to be prefixt to ours.\textsuperscript{16} And I designe that it shall accompany the first Bookes that are sent over, that your Lordship & worthy Doctor Sall may judge if there be any thing fit to be added concerning the Translation & the present Edition of the New Testament in Irish And together with Mr Reyley’s Papers, I send the French it selfe, that if there be any Person at Dublin, as I suppose there is, that is a sufficient master of both Languages French & Irish, he /fol. 2v/ may judge whether the Version of the Preface be faithfully & skillfully made, and mend it where there is need, in case it shall be found not to be so. I have been oblig’d to make this dull Letter so tedious already, that I shall add nothing to lengthen it, except an humble desire to receive as soon as your Conveniency will permit, your Lordships Directions (about the disposal of the Bookes) which God granting me Life & health will be readily obeyd by,

My Lord

Your Lordships most Humble
& most faithfull
Servant

Ro: Boyle.


\textsuperscript{13} For Thomas Hyde, see vol. 5, p. 2. No letters from Hyde to Boyle survive between 1679 and 1683. For the Provost, Narcissus Marsh’s, initiative in encouraging students to learn Irish, see vol. 5, pp. 253-4.

\textsuperscript{14} For the proposal to reprint the Book of Common Prayer in Irish at this time, see vol. 5, p. 208.

\textsuperscript{15} For the Welsh Bible, see vol. 5, p. 204.

\textsuperscript{16} See vol. 5, p. 220 and passim.
SENT VERSION of BOYLE to JONES, 17 September 1681

Armagh Public Library, Dopping Collection, No. 14
Hand C, signed by Boyle. Fol./2.

This version is dated, ‘Knights Bridge Sept. 17th’ and has the signature, ‘Ro: Boyle;’ Otherwise it differs from the BL version printed in Correspondence, vol. 5, pp. 268-71 (dated 27 September on the basis of Jones’s reply, ibid., p. 271) only in punctuation and spelling, except that it has the following postscript, wholly lacking in the BL version:

Postscript

Of the 3 books that are Guilt <&> in Turky Leather, one is design’d for the Lord Primate & Chancellor, another for the Lord Arch Bishop of Cashell, & the 3d for your Lordship, who is humbly requested to accept of it, & present the other two with my most humble service, according to the Direction, adding a word of Apology for my not having address’d them my selfe to each of Their Graces, I not being at London when the bookes were brought to my Lodgeings, & thence sent away. Of the 7 that are bound in Calves Leather with Gilt Backs, I desire one may be presented to the worthy Provost of the Colledge of Dublin, another (which should have been <more> richly bound) to the Library there, a 3d to my Reverend & Learned Friend Dr Sall, & the other 4 to such persons of note, & well wishers to the conversion of the Irish, as Y[our] L[ordship] shall think fit. And now I must give Y[our] L[ordship] notice, that I have sent also by the <same> Carrier, 350 Irish Testaments, which together with the newly mention’d 50 already bound, make up 400 bookes. I send not the whole Impression, which amounts in all to about 500, because tis thought fit to keep some number on this side the water, partly for the use of the Isle of Man, where Irish is spoken, partly for some of the Scotch HighLanders that use the same Language, & partly for other purposes. /fol. 2v/ And for those that are sent over in Quires, I shall, God permitting by the first conveniency send as much money as would here pay for their binding, at the rate I pay’d for each of the most of those 40, that were sent over plain bound.

Endorsed: ‘Mr Robert Boyle. Sept. 17 St.’
Rd. 22.

BOYLE to SALL, 21 January 1682 [referred to as lost in Correspondence, vol. 5, p. 287]

Armagh Public Library, Dopping Collection, No. 11.
Hand C, signed by Boyle. 4'/2.

London, Jan: the 21st

---


18 For the distribution of Bibles in the Scottish Highlands, see vol. 6, passim. This is the earliest reference to such an interest on Boyle’s part. The idea of distributing them in the Isle of Man is not otherwise documented.
Sir

The news of the death of the Good Bishop of Meath,\textsuperscript{19} tho his Age kept it from being surprizing, was yet very unwelcome, especially to those who with you & me heartily wish the conversion of the Popish natives of Ireland. And therefore it concerns us to be mindful, of that of Our Saviour, that the greatness of the harvest & the Paucity of the Labourers, ought to ingage us to be suppliants to the Great Lord of the Harvest. I am sorry to find that your zeal for this precept, has been hitherto so little encourag’d by those that ought to mind the promoteing of such works. But I hope that in Gods good time, which is always the best, he will make the light of his Gospell dispell all interposing Clouds & impediments, & shine gloriously upon those that as yet sit in darkness in our poor Country. I have shewn your Letter to my sister R.,\textsuperscript{20} who continues to be much your Friend, & will not faile to shew her selfe such when she shall meet with an Opportunity. She /fol. 1v/ Thinks she may have some Interest in the new Bishop of Meath, who is related to the family, whereof her son is now the head, & she intends to improve that Interest, to dispose him to promote the good work you are ingag’d in.\textsuperscript{21} I am troubled that Mr Higgins is no more consider’d by those in Power, & the rather because the late Bishop of Meath intimated to me a good while agoe, that there would be at Dublin a maintinance settled for him, by way of Annual contribution, till he could be better provided for.\textsuperscript{22} I do not wonder that the Bishop of Meath should exhort you to inlarg upon the recommendation of the Scripture, that being a booke which\textsuperscript{23} deserves the highest Elogiums, & will recompence the most serious & asiduous study, (upon which accompls, I formerly ventur’d to write a Tract of the style of the Scriptures).\textsuperscript{24} Mr Rely wishes that the Printing of the Old Testament in Irish, might be begun as soon as is possible, because he says, that otherwise he knows not whether he may not be call’d hence to some other Employment, before the Impression be compleated.\textsuperscript{25} Your Praeface to the New Testament. (which is very well liked by those I have yet shewn it to) should have been sent before this time, but that I could not get a safe convenicny [sic], & therefore I intend to send next week 400 copies of it to Chester by /fol. 2/ The Carrier, being unwilling to hazzard them by long

\textsuperscript{19} Henry Jones: see vol. 5, p. 203. He died on 5 Jan. 1682.

\textsuperscript{20} I.e. Lady Ranelagh. The letter referred to is perhaps that of 13 Dec. 1681, vol. 5, p. 279

\textsuperscript{21} Anthony Dopping: see vol. 5, p. 286. Lady Ranelagh’s son was Richard Jones, 1st Earl of Ranelagh (see vol. 5, p. 207). For his instrumentality in getting Dopping appointed, see Lady Ranelagh to Dopping, 14 Jan. 1682, Dopping Collection, no. 10. Dopping was related to the Ranelaghs in that his maternal grandmother, Margaret (d. 1615), wife of Gilbert Domville, was born Margaret Jones and was the sister of Roger Jones, 1st Viscount Ranelagh (d.1643), who was Lady Katherine's father in law and the 1st Earl's grandfather: see G.E. Cokayne, Complete Peerage (new ed., 14 vols, London, 1910-59), x, 730-1, and A.P. Burke (ed.), A Genealogical and Heraldic History of the Landed Gentry of Ireland (London, 1904), p. 258.

\textsuperscript{22} For Higgin, see vol. 5, p. 253-4. It is not clear what ‘maintenance’ is referred to.

\textsuperscript{23} I.e., Style of the Scriptures, published in 1661.

\textsuperscript{24} For Reilly, see above. For a reference to ‘Employment lately proffer’d me in the Country’, see Reilly to Sall, 23 Feb. 1682, Dopping Collection, no. 18; its nature is unclear.
sea in this Tempestuous season, wherein almost every day brings us News of Shipwracks. That they may come safe to your hands, & prove serviceable to the purposes you designed in them, are the hearty wishes of

Sir
Your very Affectionate
Friend & very humble
Servant
Ro: Boyle.

I find by a letter from your worthy Dr Marsh, that their [sic] is a short Irish Grammar drawn up <by him> at Dublin, & that he is to seek how to get it Printed, I may probably be able to assist him in that work, if I knew what the charge will amount to for Printing 500 copies of it, & therefore you will obl[ige me]¹⁵ to intimate it in your next.²⁶

These/ To the Reverend Doctor
Andrew Sall/ Present
To be left with Mr John Reeves liveing near St Mary Abbey
at Dublin/ To be delivered as above/ directed

Seal: three fleur-de-lys on a shield, surrounded by a wreath.
Postmark: IA/24
Endorsed: ‘1681. Mr Rob. Boyle, Jan. 2’

BOYLE to ANTHONY DOPPING,²⁷ 25 April 1682

Armagh Public Library, Dopping Collection, No. 22.xii
Hand: Warr, signed by Boyle. 4º/2.

London April the 25th 1682
My Lord
I find by a Letter of your Lordships to my Sister Ranelagh, that you are pleas’d to desire my thoughts about something that relates to the Publication of the Old Testament in Irish.²⁸ I fear it would be tedious to trouble your Lordship with what you may, with less exercise of your patience, receive a particular account of, from the worthy Provost of Trinity Colledge, Doctor Marsh; who can acquaint your Lordship with what had pass’d about this Affair, wherein he has

²⁵ For Sall’s preface, see vol. 5, pp. 274-5.
²⁶ For Marsh’s grammar, see vol. 5, p. 281 and passim.
²⁷ See vol. 5, p. 286.
²⁸ See Dopping to Ranelagh, 25 March 1682, Dopping Collection, no. 19.
himselfe had a very laudable part.\textsuperscript{29} I am frequently promised (and that by more than one Person) the Formulaires of Subscriptions, by which the printing of the Welsh Bible was not long since very successfully carried on in this Kingdome. If any of those Persons keep their word, I shall, God permitting, dispatch over the Papers to Dublin; where I hope they may prove useful Precedents.\textsuperscript{30} But I confess I do not see why, if these cannot seasonably be procured, the work may not happily \textit{proceed} without them. And I am the more encouraged to think so, by seeing so good a work, so forwardly owned by such a Patron as your Lordship, whose zeal will, I hope, as it deserves to do, prove very exemplary. And because in undertakeings of this kind, the first steps are commonly much the most difficult, I am willing to break the ice in England also, by laying down fifty pounds towards the common stock; that there may be a beginning, if not also some progress, made, of the Edition of the Old Testament, whilst the Subscriptions of others with you in Ireland are procuring. I scarce\textsuperscript{xiii} doubt but there is before now arrived at Dublin the first sheet of Genesis printed off; by which your Lordship may judge of the Paper and Ink, that the Printer & Corrector design to employ: and if that Pattern be well approved of, I wish that no avoidable delay may be made of setting the Press on work; in regard the Printer /fol. 2/ will not undertake under a penalty to compleat it in less time than a year; and Mr. Reilly, the sole Corrector, desires not be engaged beyond that time, because he doubts whether his occasions will allow him to be so.\textsuperscript{31} I should need your Lordships pardon for troubling you with so long a Letter, if I had not thought it was made a kind of Duty to do it; partly in compliance with your Lordships Kindness for that Pious work you are engaging in; and partly to acknowledge my sense of the Honour your Lordship was pleased to do me, by requiring the weake Thoughts of,

\begin{center}
My Lord,  
Your Lordships most Humble  
& most Obedient  
Servant  
Ro: Boyle.
\end{center}


BOYLE to DOPPING, 15 August 1682

Armagh Public Library, Dopping Collection, No. 23.\textsuperscript{xiv}

Hand: Warr, signed by Boyle. \textit{4\textsuperscript{o}/2 + 2} (i.e., Boyle’s letter is on 4 sides, with a separate cover sheet, blank on its inner sides).

\begin{center}
London Aug: the 15\textsuperscript{th} 1682
\end{center}

My Lord,

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{29} See vol. 5, p. 290 and passim.
\textsuperscript{30} For the Welsh proposals see vol. 5, p. 204.
\textsuperscript{31} For Reilly’s putative job, see previous letter.
\end{flushright}
The Honour of your Lordships Letter needs not the Endearment of Earliness to make it welcome.32 The worthy Provost of Trinity Colledge I presume acquainted your Lordship with what I writ to him by the three last posts. Whereby you will perceive in what forwardness we are here to begin the Impression of the Irish Old Testament.33 And I am very glad to find that your Lordship is so hopefull of being, by supplies from Ireland, able to carry it on; which I am the more disposd to expect when I consider whose worke it is we have the honour to be engagd in.34 I am also glad, that your Lordship dos not thinke it necessary, no more than I do, to stay for the formularies of subscriptions for the Welsh Bible, since all the Endeavours I have hitherto used to procure them, almost as much to my wonder as trouble, prove fruitless, & give me no great hopes that they will for the future be seasonably successfull. Your Lordship is pleased to ascribe much more to my poor Endeavours, to promote the good Worke now on foot, than I have hitherto used to procure them, almost as much to my wonder as trouble, prove fruitless, & give me no great hopes that they will for the future be seasonably successfull. Your Lordship is pleased to ascribe much more to my poor Endeavours, to promote the good Worke now on foot, than I have hitherto used to procure them, almost as much to my wonder as trouble, prove fruitless, & give me no great hopes that they will for the future be seasonably successfull. Your Lordship is pleased to ascribe much more to my poor Endeavours, to promote the good Worke now on foot, than I have hitherto used to procure them, almost as much to my wonder as trouble, prove fruitless, & give me no great hopes that they will for the future be seasonably successfull. Your Lordship is pleased to ascribe much more to my poor Endeavours, to promote the good Worke now on foot, than I have hitherto used to procure them, almost as much to my wonder as trouble, prove fruitless, & give me no great hopes that they will for the future be seasonably successfull.

My Lord

Your Lordships most
Humble and
most Obedient
Servant

Ro: Boyle/ fol. 4v/

These/ [To]v the Right Reverend/ Father in God the
Lord Bishop of/ Meath/ Present/ at Dublin.

33 See Boyle’s letters of 1, 5 and 12 August, vol. 5, pp. 316ff.
34 For Dopping’s attempts to raise subscriptions, see Dopping Collection, nos. 24-33. For the Welsh proposals, see vol. 5, p. 204.
Seal: TDR/Reilly.

Postmark: AV/22. MS postal marking ‘IN’ adjacent to address.


ALLEN MULLEN [?] to BOYLE, 27 Feb. 1683

Boyle quoted a lengthy extract from this letter, headed ‘Dublin, Feb 27. 1682’, in his Experimenta et Observationes Physicæ (1691), Works, vol. 11, pp. 406-7. The possibility that the letter is from Mullen is canvassed there. For Mullen, see above, vol. 5, p. 298n. Presuming that the letter is from Mullen, it would be likelier to date from 1683 than 1682 (and it is likely that the date of the letter is old style in any case), since Mullen was only introduced to Boyle in June 1682: see ibid., pp. 297-8.

vol. 6:

Lost Letters:

For a possible lost letter from Boyle to Huntington dated early December 1685, see Appendix.

BOYLE to his STEWARD, 22 January 1685

In his 'Life' of Boyle (Works (1744), i, 88, reprinted in Works (1772), i, cxxxix-cxl), Birch records the following, otherwise lost, letter:

It appears likewise, by a letter of his to one of his stewards in Ireland, dated January 22, 1684-5, that he had ordered a former steward to set aside every year about a fifth part (rather more than less) of the clear annual income of his tythes and impropriations, to be employed for pious uses. The orders to the new steward run thus: "I must desire you at every half year's rent day, or when the rents then due shall be paid in to you, to lay aside, till you receive contrary orders, the full fifth part of what you judge you receive for me de claro upon the account of tythes and impropriations, to be disposed, &c.

It is unclear who the steward in question was. For the impropriations, see above, vol. 4, passim.
BOYLE to HUNTINGTON, 14 July 1685, with covering letter from HUNTINGTON to DOPPING, 21 July 1685

Armagh Public Library, Dopping Collection, no. 45. xvii
Scribal copy of Boyle’s letter (on fol. 2), with holograph covering letter from Huntington. Fol/2. The scribe uses a curious form of punctuation in the form ‘;:’, which has been reproduced here.

London July the 14th 1685

Worthy Sir

I Received yesternight with great Contentment the assurance you give me that the drawing up the preface to the Irish old Testament is undertaken by a Prelate so able to performe it well as the Bishop of Meath,: whose question about the preface xviii to be printed also in another Language then Irish seems very pertinent,: 35 And of the two Languages mentioned in your Letter,: tho the Latine be the more generall,: yet in regard the booke is like to fall into few hands, besides Irish ones that doe not understand English,: and many of those that do may be unacquainted with the Romane tongue,: perhaps it might procure the booke more friends, and helpe it to benefit more persons, if they be addressd to in a language they best understand, and those few books that shall be thought fit to be presented as Rarities to Librarieys or great persons in other Countries may have a Latine Version of the preface prefixt instead of the English,: But these things I only offer to Consideration, leaving you upon the place at liberty to determine as you shall thinke fit,: As to what is intimated about adding the Apochrypha if there were but one reason to disswade it, that also is such that it would suffice, for haveing for trials sake caused a booke to be bound with care, to see what bulke it would be of,: tho it were extraordinarily well beaten, and wants the preface,: yet amounting to above eleven hundred pages, it is already so thick and heavy, that all that can be hoped for is, that when the preface, shall be added; it will want a litle, tho but very litle, of being Cumbersome or unweildy; so that the present edition is altogether uncapable of so voluminous an Appendix as the Apocrypha Books would amount to,: 36 I intend to make use of the liberty you give me to address my selfe to Mr William Hussey to desire his advice and if need be his assista

Worthy Sir

Your most affectionate and
Most Humble Servant
Ro: Boyle

You will oblige m [sic] to give my most humble service to those learned Prelats that are pleas’d to concern themselves in our work. And as to your most worthy predecessors designe of an Irish

35 This letter is not extant, and neither is Dopping’s preface; for the reasons for the latter loss , see below, Boyle to Huntington, 13 May 1686.

36 See vol. 6, p. 137. For Hussey, see vol. 6, p. 177.
Grammer I shall be very glad to receive some more particuler information about it, and an Estimat what bulke it will be of.37

HUNTINGTON’S COVERING LETTER:

July the 21 –85

My Lord

Before the Receipt of Mr Boyles Letter this afternoon I was to wait upon your Lordship, but came it seems a day after the Fair;38 & thought my self oblig’d to make an Excuse, if the Transcript I now send you had not warranted me the Privilege of writing. Were I not to go to the Visitation of the Dukes School at Kilkenny next week, I should very likely beg your pardon in person;39 But I am sure you can bestow it at a distance, & if it comprehends your Ladyes also, twill be doubly acceptable to

My Lord
Your most humble & Obedient Servant
Robert Huntington

To The Right Reverend Father in God
Antony Lord Bishop of Meath
At Ardbrachan/ near/ the Navan

Seal: Helmeted classical figure with pitchfork over arm and shield.
Postmark: IY/21

Endorsed on fol. 2v (partly hidden by mount): ‘Dr Huntington….. Concerning the Irish bible together with Mr Boyles Letter. Answered’.

37 For Marsh’s grammar, see vol. 5, p. 281 and passim.
38 A colloquialism meaning ‘too late’.
39 A grammar school was founded at Kilkenny at this time by James Butler, 1st Duke of Ormonde (1601-88), who issued a charter for the school as Lord Lieutenant in 1684. See T.U. Sadleir, ‘Register of Kilkenny School (1685-1800)’, Journal of the Royal Society of Antiquaries of Ireland, 54 (1924), 55-67, 152-69, on p. 55. Dopping's wife, referred to in the next sentence, was Jane Molyneux (b. 1648); they were married by license issued 27 Dec. 1670: see Burke, Genealogical and Heraldic History (above, n. 14), p. 258.
HUNTINGTON to DOPPING, 10 October 1685, quoting BOYLE to HUNTINGTON, early October 1685

Armagh Public Library, Dopping Collection, No. 46. xix
Holograph. 4°/2.

October the 10\textsuperscript{th} –85

My Lord

My Boyle acknowledges the Receipt of your Preface with very honourable & just Expressions of its Author, & great Returns of Thanks for the same: His following words will deserv your notice – I dare not take upon me to be so knowing in the circumstances of your Irish Affairs as were requisit to make me competent Judg of a Paper drawn up by such a hand as his Lordships; & therefore I do not presume to offer at any alteration in it, Tho, if I durst propose any, it should be the mollifying 2 or 3 expressions, that may seem somewhat severe to the Romanists. I said this with an \textit{IF}, becaus you upon th\textsuperscript{e} place may see more reason than I do at this distance, to imploy a \textit{Stile} somewhat pungent, to awaken the Romish Clergy & People, to consider more seriously the things that concern them in the highest degree. And I hope so brave & rational a discours, will, by the blessing of God, hav some impression on such of them as Prejudices & Interests do not altogether indispose to entertaine the Truth –

I took the confidence, my Lord, to tell him by this Packet, that I presum’d you would submit the fitness of expression to his great Judgment: However that I would this very Post give your Lordship his sens of it in his own words; & if you thought fit to add or alter any thing, that I would immediately transmit to him. For I reckon it my honour to be employd in so goo Concern & to approve my selfe

Your Lordships most dutyfull & Obedient Servant
Robert Huntington. /fol. 2/

To the Right Reverend Father in God Anthony
Lord Bishop of Meath/ near/ the Navan

Seal: shield, \textit{fretty on a chief three mullets}.
Postmark: OC/10. Also, MS marking adjacent to address.

Endorsed on fol. 2v (otherwise blank): ‘Dr Huntington Oct: 10: 85 to alter some things in the praeface to the Irish testament. Answered’.

BOYLE to HUNTINGTON, 13 May 1686, with Huntington’s covering letter to Dopping of 10 June 1686

Armagh Public Library, Dopping Collection, Nos. 57-8. xx

19
Worthy Sir,

I was somewhat surpriz’d to receive two dayes since from your ingenious friend, Mr Hussey, a Letter wherein he calls upon me for the Preface to the Irish Testament, as a peice, the want whereof kept the Booke from comeing forth. For if my memory do not very much faile me, twas but a very little while after I received the Copy of it, I return’d it without takeing upon me to make any alteration in it, but left you to do it upon the place if you thought fit. Only I ventur’d to offer it to Consideration, Whether the mention made of the Irish Clergy & their Averseness from hafeing the People inform’d, might not be somewhat mollify’d To which, if I mistake not, twas answer’d from Dublin, that such sharpness was requisite to awaken & excite the Irish Romanists, whom their Clergy had laid very fast asleep. In which Answer I that was a stranger to the present state of those Parts, thought my selfe oblig’d to acquiesce. I remember also that I told you that I agreed with you in thinkeing that some account of the Care that had been taken to make the Version faithfull & the Edition as Correct as Circumstances would permit, might very well be brought in when the intended Irish Grammar should come forth. I did then, & still do, take it for granted, that of a Writeing of such moment as the Preface; you kept a Copy by you, & could easily get it translated into Irish & printed in both Languages at Dublin. And therefore I was only solicitous to procure a dispatch of the Title Page, which accordingly was printed here both in English & Irish, and sent several weekes agoe to Mr Hussey, who very civilly sometime before invited me to leave it in his hands, by a Promise that he would speedily & carefully transmit it. This being all the Account I can give you of that Preface; I hope you will be pleas’d, with what convenient speed you can to cause that Paper to be printed at Dublin at my charge, & with it the Version of it in Irish, in case you can get it well done there, as I presume you may, and thinke it expeditious for the Information of the Natives. The Circumstances of this Time, as well as the good use that I hope will (at least by some Readers) be made of the Booke, make the long delay’d Publication of it fit to be dispatch’d in the Opinion of

Worthy Sir
Your most Humble
& Affectionate
Servant

Ro: Boyle.

P.S. I hope you have Irish Letters enough at Dublin to print the Preface in that Language, but if that should require too much time, perhaps twere better to let the Booke come out with the English Preface only, as, I thinke twas first proposd at Dublin.

Endorsed by Dopping on fol. 2v: ‘Mr Robert Boyle May 13. 86 concerning my præface and the Irish version.

40 See Hussey to Boyle, 8 May 1690, vol. 6, pp. 177-8.
41 For Marsh’s Irish Grammar, see above.
My Lord

You will by the Inclosed discover the miscarriage of your Preface to the Irish Bible: And tho I doubt not but your Lordship has a Copy of the same, yet whether you will not make some alterations or additions, I leav to your father consideration. Moreover I guess they have no Irish Letter here, & therefore recours must agen be had to London if any thing more shall be done in that language. Otherwise it may be bound up with the Title Page only: And therein allso, whether it were not enough to express the Translation to have bin made with great care & faithfulness, without mentioning any ones name, but leaving that to some more convenient place, may employ your 2d thoughts. I have Titles according to the number of the Copyes, 50; & know not whether those will be made use of in hast: For I have many lying bound by me, without any prospect of disposing them where they will be read. If there are in your Dioces who desire to have them gratis as Mr Boyle dispenses them, let them give directions to

Your Lordships very humble & Obedient Servant
Robert Huntington.

To the Right Reverend Father in God Antony
Lord Bishop of Meath at Ardbrachan/ near the/ Navan

Seal: as No. 45.
Postmark: IV/10. Also MS postal marking by address.

Endorsed by Dopping on fol. 4v: ‘Dr Huntington June 10: 86. Concerning my præface to the Irish bible: and the version of it. Answered’.

APPENDIX

The Dopping Collection contains other letters relevant to the Irish Bible project which it has not seemed appropriate to include here since they are neither to nor from Boyle. Some of these have been referred to in the footnotes above. However, there are two letters which it seems desirable to deal with here. One of them, no. 48, is a draft of a letter from Dopping to Huntington, dated 14 December 1685, which was printed in Correspondence, vol. 6, pp. 451-3, from the original surviving in the Boyle Letters. The other is no. 47, a letter from Huntington to Dopping, dated 12 December, to which that letter is a reply. Partly because of its significance in elucidating the letter already printed, and partly because it appears to summarise a lost letter from Boyle, it is printed in full here. In addition, a collation is offered of Dopping’s draft of his letter to Boyle with the version actually sent.
HUNTINGTON to DOPPING, 12 December 1685

Armagh Public Library, Dopping Collection, No. 47. 
Holograph. 4⁴/².

Dec. the 12th –85

My Lord

You’ll see by the Enclosed, which came to my hands last night, an Account of what it is Mr Boyle desires; & allso the occasion of why I could not so well understand his meaning in a Letter, I told your Lordship of, which he wrote to a Correspondent here: ⁴² For the return’d Preface he speaks of never came to me so that if any thing were intimated as to alterations or additions, tis all lost but what may be conjectur’d from what he writes here. ⁴³ How far you shall think fit to govern yourself by his Notices, what larger Account to give, & whether you’l put the Preface into Irish: or els whether you dont think him a proper Judg, to determin in this whole Affair; is not a proper subject for my Consideration; as too much above it. However let me entreat your Lordship to send me a speedy Resolv which way you intend to proceed, that I may write accordingly: And if farther Compositions or Versions shall be made here; that they may be concluded in as small a Bulk as may be; since there will be no time left to expect a privat messenger, but they must be sent to him by the Post.

My Lord, if you’l give me leav to present my Respects to your Lady, & my Good Wishes to your Children; Ile ease you of any farther trouble at present, ⁴⁴ & remain your Lordships most Obedient Servant Robt Huntington. /fol. 2v/

To the Right Reverend Father in God Antony 
Lord Bishop of Meath/ at Ardbrahan/ near the /Navan

Seal: as no. 46
Postmark: DE/12.


---

⁴² Perhaps a reference to Boyle to Marsh, 28 Nov. 1685, vol. 6, pp. 136-8, though this seems an elliptical way to describe Marsh. The enclosed letter is missing.

⁴³ For the preface, see vol. 6, p. 137n., and above, Boyle to Huntington, 13 May 1686.

⁴⁴ For Dopping’s wife, Jane, see above, n. 32; there were six children by the marriage (see Burke, Genealogical and Heraldic History (above, n. 14), p. 258).
NOTE ON DOPPING COLLECTION, NO. 48, DRAFT OF DOPPING TO HUNTINGTON, 14 DECEMBER 1685

The original of this letter, which survives as Boyle Letters 4, fols. 69-70, is printed in Correspondence, vi, 451-3. This draft overlaps with that version, except that it entirely lacks the final two paragraphs, and has the following differences in wording earlier in the letter (references are by line number in the Correspondence text):

1.3: draft lacks ‘inclosed in it’
1. 4: draft has ‘what relates to’ before ‘the title page’
1. 5: draft lacks ‘Concerning it’
1. 6: draft has ‘transmitted unto’ for ‘sent’
II. 7-8: the draft is much revised here, though much of the wording appears in redistributed form in the final version. It lacks ‘had not your letter to mee Imported, that the presse stayed for it’
1. 9: draft has, after ‘my hands’: ‘and few here, are able to translate with that accuracy that the Irish Idiome doth require’
II. 12-13: draft has ‘the Irish language’ for ‘the language of my owne Country’, after which it adds: ‘nor compared it with the originalls’
II. 15-16: draft lacks bracketed phrase
1. 18: draft lacks ‘for the benefit and instruction of the natives’
II. 19-20: draft has ‘was much for it’ for ‘being for the affirmative’, and ‘as much against’ for ‘opposing’
II. 23, 31: draft has ‘language’ for ‘tongue’
II. 24-5: draft has Bedell’s title rather than his name; it also has ‘judged’ for ‘thought’ and lacks the bracketed phrase, the phrase after which is slightly differently phrased
II. 27-8: draft has ‘to have some skill in the Irish tongue’ for ‘to accompany the minister in reading his part of the service’
1. 30: draft has ‘when he returned to his diocese’ for ‘accordingly’
1. 32: draft lacks identification of Ussher
1. 33: draft has ‘Dr’ for ‘Mr’ and ‘one’ for ‘Mr’
1. 34: draft lacks inserted phrase
II. 36-7: in the draft, this bracketed phrase, which is slightly differently worded (‘who was excellently learned in the Hebrew, Greek and Latin, as he was not lesse experienced in the Irish languages’), appears earlier in the sentence (following ‘Tuam in Ireland’), and is deleted.
1. 40: draft lacks ‘the translator, (who survived him) and was’
II. 41-3: draft lacks ‘Dr. Henry Jones’, Sall’s Christian name, and ‘your predecessor’, and has ‘who gave it’ for ‘by him communicated’

---

i altered from Warnaro. After especially later in the sentence, the text continues at right-angles.
i followed by as deleted.

ii written upside down at head of text.

iii In pencil, No. 10, with 10 crossed through and replaced by 11.

iv In pencil, No. 12.

v Altered from richlyer. Five words later, there altered from the 3d.

vi Altered from banding.

vii In pencil, No. 9 and 1681/2.

ix Altered from that.

x Paper cut away.
Up this point, the address is in Boyle’s hand; the rest is added in the hand of Warr.

In pencil, No 20.

Altered in composition from scarce.

In pencil, No 21, with 21 altered from 20.

Partly hidden in binding.

Followed by Testa [?] deleted.

Pencil marking No. 43.

Followed by also deleted.

In pencil, No. 44.

In pencil, Nos. 53-4 and June 10, 1686. On Boyle’s letter is written No 54 [altered from 2] (enclosed).

Written in margin at right-angles to text.

Followed by June deleted.

In pencil, No. 45.